

STRATEGIC ALERT

By Robert Porter Lynch¹ – March 19, 2022



Everyone is Asking Questions:

- What's going on in Putin's Mind?
- What's his real aim?
- Will Russians let him get away with atrocities?
- How should the Western World Respond?

Understanding Putin is no easy task. His mind operates on a totally different "frequency" than normal people.

During this crisis, I've been asked a over a 150 questions on Quora.com about Putin.

Here is a synopsis of those answers to help you, your friends, and family try to wrap your thinking around this enigma.

You may wonder: What this has to do with my key focus: Collaborative Excellence? We need to understand the dark people in organizations and civilizations to be sure we don't fall victims to their onerous intentions.

The questions have come from over 200 people on Quora.com literally from every corner of the world in the last 16 days.

This compilation may be helpful to you, or your children, or if you are a teacher, for your students. Choose a question from the table of contents and see the answer in the body of the text. While these are my own perspective on the issues, perhaps they will stimulate further discussion and a deeper understanding of the harsh realities.

And more important, we can start charting a path for a better world to arise like the Phoenix out of the tragic ashes Putin has left in his wake.

¹ For Bio see: https://iclinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RPorterLynch-Resume-Writings-Programs-Cases.pdf

International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Contents

Part C	ONE: INSIDE PUTIN'S MIND	11
1. refe	Does Vladimir Putin have something mentally wrong with him? Vladimir Putin is now beir rred to as the "Führer." Is the obvious comparison to Hitler accurate?	
2.	When did Putin go crazy?	13
3.	What does Russian President Vladimir Putin really want? Will he get it?	13
4.	Is Putin dying?	13
5.	How can Putin save face?	14
6.	Is Putin's personality, as with that of Trump, the result of an abusive childhood?	14
7.	Has Putin and Russia crossed a point of no return?	14
8.	Does Vladimir Putin have characteristic borderline personality disorder?	15
9.	Will Xi Jinping ever feel compelled to betray Vladimir Putin?	16
10.	What're you thoughts about this madness of Putin, do you think can maybe lead to World 16	d War III?
11.	Does Vladimir Putin believe in his own propaganda?	17
12.	How will Vladimir Putin try to overcome the Ukrainian and Western propaganda machine	?.18
13.	Does President Vladimir Putin remind you of Jim Jones of Jonestown?	19
14.	Can you ever trust Putin for his word?	19
	Does Putin care about the consequences he has wrought upon the Russian people by hi ession with taking Ukraine?	
16.	Are Kamala Harris and Putin friends?	20
17.	Would Putin be open to be the 1st man to Mars?	20
ass	Does president Putin regret that he invaded Ukraine and has to ask China for the military istance and economic aid? Someone must find the way for Russia to retreat without losing er to stop the madness?	face in
	An American reporter said, "Everything that Putin is doing, he's learned from Americans. ecially the criminal activities." Do you agree with his statement?	
Part T	wo: PUTIN'S STRATEGY	23
20.	What is the real cause of Putin's invasion of Ukraine, and when is it going to end?	23
21.	Does Russia now want to 'rule' the world?	23
22.	Does Putin see the West as weak?	24
	What is Vladimir Putin hoping to achieve, gain, etc. by ordering his Russian Armed Force ade Ukraine in 2022?	
	Would the Russian government start a nuclear war if Putin (leader of Russia) was assass it was determined to be an act of the CIA?	
25.	How did Putin become a leader who rules Russia and intrigues the world?	25
26.	How did Putin take Europe to the brink of war again?	25
alor	Would Putin actually use Nukes against the U.S., knowing his countries existence would ng with his families? Even he would have to understand that the US and allies would hit hir rywhere!	n from

it se	Is Putin in a no-win scenario? Sure, he can make things ugly in Ukraine for awhile, but at the ems like it's a choice between a collapsed economy, backing down like a loser, or global remonuclear war which destroys the planet.	
	Why is Putin putting the nuclear forces on alert? Is it just to pressure others? Has he just appletely lost it?	27
	Putin threatens to use nukes even if we just get in his way. Many politicians & voters then d better not "poke the Bear". But what happens later on if we give in to nuclear blackmail no 27	-
31.	Can Putin be stopped by the use of a sanction?	29
32.	Is Putin crazy enough to attempt to "win" this war at any cost just to save face?	29
bec	Why might Vladimir Putin be seriously considering using chemical weapons in Ukraine? Is ause the invasion into that country isn't going as quickly as he had intended, or are there ot sons?	her
34.	What will happen if Putin launches a chemical weapons attack?	30
35.	Isn't Putin's Scheme Falling him already?	31
con	I heard through a good source that NATO wanted Putin to invade Ukraine to deplete his ventional forces in a proxy war as a warning to China to step back from Taiwan. Does this sistic?	
37.	Where will Vladimir Putin stop?	31
	Why doesn't Putin just launch 1 million troops to fully conquer Ukraine in a similar fashion eration Barbarossa?	
39.	Why is NATO avoiding a direct confrontation with Moscow?	33
40.	Why does Putin dislike NATO?	33
41.	Does Putin actually want a direct confrontation with NATO or the U.S.?	33
	Why is Vladimir Putin blaming NATO for five assassination attempts on his life since he be sident of Russia? Where is his proof? Does Oliver Stone know who was behind it?	
43.	Will Putin decide to attack NATO, or has he made the decision yet?	35
44.	Why will NATO fall apart and make many mistakes after Putin takes Kiev?	35
	What's stopping NATO countries to stage a false flag attack so they can be involved in wash Putin?	
	What are false flags? Which country has used false flag? Why did the UK believe that Rusplanning a false flag chemical or biological attack on Ukraine?	
	What happens if Putin surrounds the capital and destroys critical infrastructure, block aid cand just shells the city into submission? Is that even a possibility?	_
48.	Who does Vladimir Putin respect and would take advice from?	37
49.	Is there any chance that Putin's generals can convince him to stop or withdraw?	38
50.	Why is Putin not deterred by sanctions threats?	38
	What is the difference between a "Russian Czarist policy" and "Russia as a superpower pratives? Do you agree with some analysts that Putin is driven by the Czarist narrative?	
	Has Vladimir Putin just plain "Lost it" with his threats against Sweden, Finland, Latvia, Lithonia which would put NATO on a Third World War 3 footing?	
53.	Why does Putin seem to be starting to distance himself from his generals and advisers?	40

International Collaborative Leadership Institute

	How long shall the world stand and watch Mr. Putin's atrocities in Ukraine? Can't he be taken out he West?41
55.	When was the moment Putin achieved absolute power in Russia?41
56.	What could other nations do to force Russians into removing Putin from his position?41
57.	Why don't they assassinate Putin?42
58.	How is Putin right in what he's doing?42
	What happens when Ukrainian President Zelensky obtains a limited nuclear weapon and covertly aks it into Moscow and assassinates Putin with it? Where would the weapon have come from? 42
60.	Is Vladimir Putin equivalent to the warlord Hannibal that strives to destroy a rotted empire?43
61.	Will Putin really press the nuclear button over Ukraine?
62.	Is it true that Putin will double down before he backs down?44
63.	What are the Russian oligarchs doing to hang on to their wealth and possessions?44
64.	Why is the Russian government and Putin lying to their own people?45
65.	Has Putin said the US sanctions are akin to a declaration of war?45
66.	What is Putin's Propaganda Strategy?45
67.	Could Putin rebuild Russia to the glory of the former Union of Soviet and Socialist Republics?
	Did Putin commit a blunder by giving too much build up before attacking Ukraine? Should it have n a lightning attack instead?46
	Did Putin start the war with Ukraine as a special operation? After all, all that is reported about in sia is the special operation with all its consequences, while the war seems to be irrelevant. 47
70.	What are the motivations and incentives for Syrian volunteers to fight for Putin?47
71.	Has Putin told so many lies that he is now unable to distinguish facts from his fiction?48
doe	One thing you can say about Putin. He keeps his cards close to his chest. Is Biden stupid? Why s he telegraph every thought and move to Putin and Russia before he makes them? Exampleing that U.S. WILL NOT intervene in Ukraine?48
73.	Is Putin's call for soldiers from the Syrian regime a sign of desperation?49
	What are the chances that an accidental incident/occurrence could spark Russian President Putir tart a global war or WW3 with the use of nuclear weapons?49
	When do you think Putin started planning to invade Ukraine if in 2021 he seemed more intent on ertising the Covid-19 Sputnik vaccine with a geopolitical function?50
76.	Can the administration of Putin be worse than that of Stalin?50
	Instead of the dismantling of the USSR being a bad thing, as Putin contends, was it a very good g that Putin was too dumb to realize?50
Wes	How true is it that When Putin launched his invasion of Ukraine, he not only resolidified the old stern alliances formed in the wake of World War II, he also shook up the Republican Party's power amics, at least temporarily? Why?51
	What happens if Putin surrounds the capital and destroys critical infrastructure, block aid coming and just shells the city into submission? Is that even a possibility?52
80.	Why don't they bomb Putin's palace?52

81.	Do you think Putin is ready for World War III if the need arises?	52
"sa	Why did Russian President Vladimir Putin, quoted by the Tass agency, accuse Kiev of botaging the peaceful solution of the crisis" and saying that "Russia's goal is not to occupy raine"?	53
Part ⁻	Three: PUTIN and LEADERSHIP	54
83.	Why is Volodymyr Zelensky a one-of-a-kind leader, and how is he winning the "media" bat 54	ttle?
alo dro	Putin is the psychopathic cause is in war with Ukraine. Putin is a m\$&\$fuc\$&@r, but he is ne! What about the leaders who destroyed Libya, Syria, Vietnam, Iraq, Afghanistan, Yemen, pped not one, but two nuclear bombs against Japan, financd a proxy war against Iran? Are achopathic?	, Korea, they not
85.	Has your opinion of Vladimir Putin changed as a result of the Russia-Ukraine war of 2022	?56
	Why did Putin, after almost always trying to be pragmatic in political matters, attack Ukrain owing that the Western world would have a lot to say about it?	
87.	What does Putin do with deserters?	57
88.	What can happen if the Russian Army disobeys Putin's orders?	58
89.	Given that Putin is fighting for the wrong cause, will the world ever forgive Russia?	58
	How does Vladimir Putin's false flag comments about Ukraine compare to Donald Trump's comments about the stolen election among other things?	
91.	Imagine you are in front of Putin. What will you say to him?	59
92.	What makes Vladimir Putin a great leader?	59
93.	How useful was Putin's job as a former intelligence officer during his war with Ukraine?	60
Rus	Is Russia a fascist state now? Russia looks like a Neo-fascist state: dictatorship, the ideological nation supremacy, the reign of secret services, the cult of the leader, no free speech, etarisation, wars to keep the power	extreme
95.	Will Russia ever be a true democracy or is it too large and complex for that?	61
96.	How would Donald Trump deal with Putin's war against Ukraine?	61
to s	Has Donald Trump gone too far by claiming Vladimir Putin is "very savvy" and saying his cleend his army into separatist-held parts of Ukraine is "genius"? Are his pro-Putin comments ge of treason or anti-American at the least?	on the
98.	Will the losses in Ukraine make Putin lash out and if so how will he?	62
	Why is Putin hellbent on defiling the reputation of Russia, and making Russia look like a te anization to the whole world?	
Part I	Four: PUTIN'S POLITICAL VULNERABILITY	64
100), Can Putin still save Russia's economy after the sanctions?	64
101	I. Can the protests in Russia turn its citizens against Putin?	64
	2. Do you think the economic impact of Western countries' sanctions on Russia will cause VI in to lose a substantial amount of political support at home?	
	3. Is it likely that Putin's banning of Facebook and Twitter is likely to have the opposite effect ually creating more unrest, and why or why not?	
	I. What is the general consensus or perception of Russian President Putin by the citizens of true that he has a cult-like following by quite a few?	



magnitude of destruction going on or about, as well as the number of human lives that have been as a result of Putin's initiating assault on Ukraine?66	lost
106. With anti-war protesters and journalists being detained in Russia, is Putin losing his popularit among his people?	
107. Is getting along with Vladimir Putin right now a good thing or a bad thing?67	
108. What is the most likely outcome for Vladimir Zelensky, if Putin takes over Ukraine and captur the Ukrainian President?67	
109. Does Putin realize that assassinating Zelensky will make Zelensky a martyr that the world wil never forget, and Putin (and the military associated with this assassination) will be remembered in infamy forever?	า
110. Vladimir Putin should be stripped of his powers (allowed to live) but his family should be killed all those innocent people and children that he slaughtered?68	
111. What are Putin's laid out demands to end the war in Ukraine?68	ı
112. What period had greater freedom of speech/press for Russia, 2022 under Putin or the last ye of the Soviet Union under Gorbachev?69	
113. Will Putin's minions eventually turn against him?69	i
114. Why do 60% of Russians support Putin's war? I've seen a lot of them on social media. Why is China and Belaruse supporting him, too? I live in USA and wondering if our mainstream news bias and using propaganda. So what am I missing?70	sed
115. Are any of the known Russian oligarchs not corrupt?70	i
116. Only God can decide who has to die or to live. Why do you all want Putin to get killed?71	
117. Is the failure for European Countries to agree on Oil Sanctions the first big crack in Western against Putin?72	-
118. How likely is it that Putin will be dethroned? If that happens, what are the chances that he'll b substituted by a better president?72	
119. Why did Putin attack Europe's largest nuclear power plant?72	
120. Were the Russian troops misled concerning the war? Were they told it was a practice exercively what will happen when Putin's people don't support him?	
121. What are the chances that there are active assassination plots against Vladimir right now?76	i
122. If Vladimir Putin was assassinated, what would the response be from his military and the Ruspeople?76	
123. To what extent is President Putin at risk of being removed from power by the Russian oligard because of the sanctions imposed on them by the West?77	-
124. How strong is communism in Russia nowadays?78	ı
125. If the Russian Ukrainian war was to end and Putin stepped down as leader, what would he deafterward as far as a job? Or would he just take up retirement?79	
126. Will Putin eventually be arrested for war crimes?79	ł
127. Are Russians aware of the world's outrage at Putin and his invasion?79	ļ
128. What is the basis for the Western leaders to believe that harsh economic sanctions will not le	

	129. What are the best arguments to convince Putin-admirers about his absolute insanity in conflict? There are some people in my country Slovakia who still believe Putin is innocent!	
	130. How would Russia replace Putin when he becomes too old to rule or passes away?	81
	131. If Putin was assassinated, would this end this insane invasion?	
	132. Should Putin be executed for war crimes?	
	133. Was Russia ever truly democratic? What went wrong since 1993?	82
	134. Will Vladimir Putin be alive in five years?	
	135. Is Putin digging his own grave by invading Ukraine?	
	136. Will the Russian oligarchs be able to hang on to some of their toys and assets now locat West?	ed in the
	137. Are any of the known Russian oligarchs not corrupt?	83
	138. Is true knowledge the real problem with Russians? Trump thinks Putin is a genius but is Suppose Russians woke up realizing rich grifters were manipulating them? Would the Ukraini invasion end sooner?	ian
	139. Does the Russian communist party support or oppose Putin's actions in Ukraine?	85
	140. So if the USA has intelligence about Putin's agendas, why are they not doing anything a believe the US government has full involvement with the Ukrainian war Playing both sides of What are your beliefs	the fence
	141. Is it possible that the Russian military will turn on Putin	86
	142. How was Dmitrij Medvedev as a successor after Vladimir Putin?	86
	143. Is Vladimir Putin really in charge and calling the shots in Russia in 2022?	87
	144. Why did Putin sack 8 of his generals?	87
	145. Will an average Russian choose Westerners over Putin's ideology?	87
	146. Will Russia turn on Putin?	88
	147. Will Russia's fate be the same as the fate of the Soviet Union after wasting energy fighting Afghanistan?	-
	148. Somebody once said, all people deserve their leader. Do Russians deserve their leader about Ukraine? Any example is welcome	
	149. Could it be said that Russia is still pretty much under hardline communist rule, even 30 y the fall of the Soviet Union? I mean 15 years in prison for anyone in Russia calling Putin's "sp military operation" a "war". C'mon!	ecial
	150. How long do you think Putin will rule Russia?	90
	151. How will freezing/seizing properties of rich Russians make Putin change his mind?	91
	152. How can the economy of Russia be stabilized after the war?	91
	153. How many Russian oligarchs have influence over anyone in the KGB (now FSB)?	91
Р	art Five: PUTIN'S INTERACTION with GEO-POLITICS	92
	154. How can Putin's war be stopped?	92
	155. Why is Israel so supportive of Putin?	92
	156. Why are Russian people being held accountable for Putin's actions?	93
	157. Was Putin possibly waiting for Trump to vacate NATO if he was elected again in 2020?.	93



158. How good of friends are former US President Donald Trump and Russian dictator Vladimir Put and who is the liaison between the current White House, and former President Trump?94	in,
159. What are Putin's complaints about the West?95	
160. Why does Russia and Putin still not see that no country in the world wants to be associated wir Russia or live according to brutal backward poor Russian standards?96	th
161. Why did Barack Obama say Russia wasn't a threat, then later tell Putin he'd be more "flexible" after the election, two years before Putin annexed Crimea?96	
162. What is to keep China from confiscating Russia's oil and gas producing lands near Mongolia? Putin nearly defenseless in the east? Surely he wouldn't nuke China?96	lsn'
163. Will China's Xi Jinping let Vladimir Putin start a world war before finding a way to stop him? Whor why not?97	٦y,
164. Is Putin Xi Jinping's puppet?97	
165. Is Putin selling Russia to China under the pretense of giving land for rent indefinitely for exploitation?	
166. Would Putin dare to drop the nuke in Ukraine?98	
167. Putin threatens to use nukes even if we just get in his way. Many politicians & voters then say we'd better not "poke the Bear". But what happens later on if we give in to nuclear blackmail now? 98	
168. Do you think the sanctions will work by driving Putin to the negotiating table? Or will they make him feel cornered and cause him to lash out in a catastrophic way? What do you think?99	;
169. Why did the West put such weak sanctions on Russia/Putin? Why are the rich oligarchs with stolen dirty money and their families not had their visas cancelled and are still rudely behaving and living in the EU/USA?99	
170. How can scared folks be calmed down when the news is indicating a nuclear war with Russia?)
171. Since Putin has been declared a war criminal by the Geneva Convention, why doesn't Interpol arrest him?100	
172. Former President Trump calls Putin 'genius'. How might the media put a spin on this? Could the giving him some ideas if he runs and wins in 2024?101	nis
173. Is the best thing Putin can do is this? Pause, realise that he has made an incredible mistake, withdraw all forces, offer Ukraine £1 trillion in compensation & hand himself over to the UN's ICC in The Hague? People would then respect him far more?102	
174. What are the chances in 2022 that Putin will use nukes on the US?102	
175. How is the "Special Financial Operation" working for Putin?103	
176. Can Putin really be deposed in one real way? As with the Soviet flag over the Reichstag in Ma 1945, another flag may need to fly over the Kremlin? Put another way, Ukraine or a coalition may not go the whole way into Moscow as like with Berlin?	•
177. Is inflation in America largely the fault of Vladimir Putin as Joe Biden claims?103	
178. What is the 'catastrophic fallout' Russia promises if the West bans their oil imports?104	
179. Now that Putin has stated he would use nuclear if any nation interfered with his plans to invade will the West act any differently when Putin wants the Baltics?105	∋,
180. Why didn't the Obama administration of the United States implement more sanctions against Vladimir Putin after Russia's takeover of Crimea and Ukraine in 2014?105	

181. Do you agree that Zelensky in Ukraine has done more to change Western responses and attitudes to Putin in 5 days of the Russian invasion of Ukraine and his response, than everyone combined in the past 30 years and this will lead to Putin's demise?
182. What is the case to be made that Vladimir Putin's war in Ukraine has helped Donald Trump because people are not paying as much attention to his legal troubles or the Capitol riots?108
183. How long will it take until the media and press of the free world will call Vladimir Putin in unison for what he is, namely a DICTATOR? Many (most of them) still keep calling him PRESIDENT Putin.108
184. If Russia defeats Ukraine, how will the country be rebuilt with Russia having such sanctions against it? What's Putin's plan?108
185. Has Putin's big invasion plan backfired now that so many American companies refuse to do business with Russia?109
186. What if everything backfires on Putin. Does he go down? Do countries become democratic? What would be an outstanding outcome? Will it be a safer world?109
187. Is it possible that Western intelligence agencies actually tricked Putin into invading Ukraine by feeding him false intel, in order to trap him in a quagmire that drains Russia's resources and damages its standing in the world?110
188. Is inflation in America largely the fault of Vladimir Putin as Joe Biden claims?110
189. Was Vladimir Putin formerly in the Russian military?111
190. Are Russian teens and millennials addicted to Instagram and Facebook just like their western counterparts? Could this revocation be the straw that breaks the Putin government? Did the Russian Government know how addictive Instagram is to the youth?112
191. Has Putin's big invasion plan backfired now that so many American companies refuse to do business with Russia?112
192. Isn't it a shame in a way for ordinary Russians? They get tarred with the same brush as Putin, & end up with a low ranking passport, worthless currency & 40 years of hard work wiped out building an economy? They cannot even buy a Samsung Galaxy phone?113
193. Why are the leaders of Saudi Arabia and the UAE refusing to take calls from Biden as the U.S. is working to build international support for Ukraine and contain a surge in oil prices? What is the benefits of seeming to side with Russia?114
194. What would happen if Putin was charged with war crimes?114
195. Why aren't the World Leaders coming together to put a stop to the war? We must call Putin for what he is: another Hitler and hold him accountable for his war crimes and for invading a country that pose no threat to him
196. How true is it that When Putin launched his invasion of Ukraine, he not only resolidified the old Western alliances formed in the wake of World War II, he also shook up the Republican Party's power dynamics, at least temporarily? Why?115
197, Could Russian President Vladimir Putin ever be an accepted member of the civilized world?116
198. Why do people think that if Putin ceases to be the Russian president, the Russo-Ukraine war will cease?116
199. What if Putin attacks another country that isn't part of NATO? How will the West see this?116
200. How is it legally justifiable for the world to seize Russian Oligarch's assets over Putin's actions, something they have no control over?117
201. What do you think about Tucker Carlson defending Putin and the invasion of Ukraine?117

International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Appendix: Understanding Propaganda	1	18	8
Hitler's Secret Propaganda Sauce	. 1	1	9



Part ONE: INSIDE PUTIN'S MIND

To understand him, you must enter the "heart of darkness" which is both unpleasant and alien territory.



Here are the Questions that appeared on Quora that I answered:

1. Does Vladimir Putin have something mentally wrong with him? Vladimir Putin is now being referred to as the "Führer." Is the obvious comparison to Hitler accurate?

Everyone is asking:

- >>Who is this man?
- >>What is he thinking?
- >>How could he order mass destruction?

International Collaborative Leadership Institute

The world is aghast at Putin's actions invading a peaceful country like Ukraine.

What is abhorrent to us is perfectly normal for this cold-blooded killer.

The reference to Putin and the Führer is dead-on accurate. Both Putin and Hitler have multiple personality disorders encompassing very Predatory qualities known as the "DARK TRIAD" – it's a bona-fide field of psychiatric study – referring to:

- 1) Machiavellians master manipulators interested only in their aggrandizement.
 - We have known for years that Putin was a Master Machiavellian cold, calculating, willing to exert power for any reason that would give him an advantage. He is a master of deceit, using cunning and guile to conceal his real motives. You could never trust his words, using language such as "peace-keeping" to disguise his evil motives.
- 2) Narcissists self-centered egomaniacs who act only in their self interest
 - His recent declaration of a rationale for overtaking Ukraine revealed two more dimensions of bestial darkness. When he said he wanted to take back all the land of the former Soviet Empire, he showed his formerly hidden Narcissistic side, megalomaniacal, ego-centric, self-aggrandizing legacy for himself.
- 3) Psychopaths cold blooded beasts without conscience who only recognize Power.
 - When he risked the well-being of all Russian and Ukrainian citizens, both soldiers and civilians, he revealed his Psychopathic side willing to engage in cold-blooded mass killings to achieve his evil intentions. Putin has no conscience, no soul, no moral center. The only "morality" he embraces is: Might Makes Right.

When a leader has all three of these qualities, they are super-dangerous Predators — Evil incarnate. You are looking at the DEVIL before your eyes.

Dictators like Hitler, Idi Amin, Mao Tse Tung, Pol Pot, and numerous others were DARK TRIAD. During the 20th century they triggered wars and genocides resulting in over 165 million deaths globally.

To learn more about these dark monsters and what to do about them, please see my Strategic Alert: Beware the Authoritarian Dark Triad http://www.iclinstitute.com/Beware_the_Beast_--_Authoritarian_Dark_Triad_V1.11.pdf

2. When did Putin go crazy?

To answer your question, it's best to understand Putin's Personality Disorder, which has gotten progressively worse the more power he gains — Same thing happened with Trump. Both have the traits of the "Dark Triad:"

- Machiavellian
- Narcissist
- Psychopath

To understand the linkage between Trump and Putin's personality, and understand the Dark Triad, please see: *Beware the Authoritarian Dark Triad*

http://www.iclinstitute.com/Beware_the_Beast_--_Authoritarian_Dark_Triad_V1.11.pdf

3. What does Russian President Vladimir Putin really want? Will he get it?

Putin, psychologically, is a Psychopath, Narcissist, and Machiavellian (all three combined)

Thus Putin wants three things:

- 1. Power
- 2. Control
- 3. Glory

Anything Putin says to the contrary is a deceit to cover his three motives

He has no conscience. People are just pawns on his chessboard. The lives of Ukrainian people or Russian soldiers are just numbers, and no more. Sacrifice of lives is the cost of winning at all costs. These are the same qualities as Hitler. Putin will fight to the end, just like Hitler.

4. Is Putin dying?

If you are referring to his political, strategic, and functional well-being, he is dying a death of a thousand cuts.

Putin is losing, and is out of touch with reality. In this respect he is dying.

Putin is not fully functional — he is making decisions that get him deeper into a self-destructive mode — destroying both the Ukrainian and Russian people, culture, and economies.

Yes, Putin has a death wish — like Hitler in the final years of WWII — taking everything down with him.

5. How can Putin save face?

This is an important but thorny question.

First, because Putin is a Narcissist, and because he must keep the pride of the Russian people to stay in power, Putin has to save face.

Second, the longer Putin prolongs the war, the more difficult the extrication becomes. He is a bulldozer. As the war crimes mount, the less amenable the Western World will be to a solution where Putin wins.

What's going to be left on the table is small: Keep Donbas and Crimea, withdraw from the rest of the Ukraine, and accept that Moldova and Ukraine will end up as NATO Protectorates.

The only way this will happen is if a third party, namely China intercedes to broker the "deal." Then Putin can make a big deal over how Russia and China ended the war.

Lousy solution, but that's "Realpolitik"

6. Is Putin's personality, as with that of Trump, the result of an abusive childhood?

While an abused childhood can contribute to this personality disorder, not all abused children become members of the "Dark Triad:"

7. Has Putin and Russia crossed a point of no return?

At this point, the answer is No he hasn't passed the point of no return, but that could change any moment either way.

Here's the difficulty: Putin, in addition to being a Psychopath, is also a Narcissist and Machiavellian. He believes he's smarter than anyone, no one can be trusted (therefore he must lie and deceive) and his plan is bound to succeed. If it fails, he will opt to use more brute force, and the tide will turn in his favor.

Because he lacks a soul/conscience, he has no understanding of the courage and spirit of the Ukrainians — he believes his own lies and thinks more propaganda will change people's minds.

He carefully studied Hitler's Propaganda Machine and thinks that the scientific application of FUDD —Fear, Uncertainty, Doubt, and Distrust — will wear down the resistance of the Ukrainians and they will bend to his will.

He underestimated the Ukrainians, NATO, and all of the free world. Can he accept the fact that he miscalculated? Improbable. Just try harder to overpower the enemy, and they will back off instead of risking a nuclear war.

Getting him to turn back and withdraw from Ukraine requires a combined effort of NATO force (carefully applied as to not provoke a harsh response), persuasion (applied from within his inner circle — Politburo and Oligarchs), and a way out where he can still declare victory.

At this stage, the path to return to a world order probably means declaring a DeConflictive Zone in Western Ukraine as a humanitarian safe haven, supplying the Ukrainian Freedom Fighters, and withdrawal of Russian Forces back to their positions before the Invasion (with Russian retaining the Donbass and Crimea) in exchange for a loosening of the financial sanctions.

Is this possible? Yes!

Is it probable? Only if Putin believes he will be hurt more if he continues, and if the Russian Power Elite twists his arm very hard. This is the only way to deal with a sick bully.

8. Does Vladimir Putin have characteristic borderline personality disorder?

No, not at all. My ex-wife had Borderline Personality Disorder, so I have personal experience.

According to the Diagnostic Statistical Manual (DSM) of Mental Disorders, there must be "long-standing evidence of 'a pervasive pattern of instability of interpersonal relationships, self-image, and affects, and marked impulsivity' as evidenced by symptoms (5 or more) such as frantic efforts to avoid abandonment, identity disturbance, difficulties with anger, and intense and unstable interpersonal relationships. In the DSM-5, BPD will be diagnosed if there is evidence of self and interpersonal dysfunction as well as elevated scores on the following traits: rapid, often exaggerated changes in mood, where strong emotions or feelings (uncontrollable laughing or crying, or heightened irritability or temper) occur, anxiousness, separation insecurity, depressivity, impulsivity, risk taking, and hostility."

No one with Borderline Personality Disorder could ever rise to a position of power and hold their grip on reality.

Borderlines are often suicidal, suffering from hallucinations, and so volatile as to be impossible to live with. No one would ever follow them, so they can't lead. They are destructive and often suffer from dysphoria — a gloom far darker than ordinary depression.

While he may suffer from paranoia, it didn't come from BPD. His paranoia is likely attached to his inability to trust anyone because of his Psychopathy — a fear of anything that looks like a powerful threat to his existence. What is Vladimir Putin's biggest regret?

🕞 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

His biggest regret is unfolding before his eyes — the decision to invade the Ukraine.

Finally, the Law of Unintended Consequences caught him stark naked.

Putin wanted a legacy that equaled Peter or Catherine the Great.

Instead, he's going down as a total knucklehead making one of the worst miscalculations in history, comparable to Hitler's decision to invade Russian in 1941. He will be tattooed as a war criminal, a loser, and the one who singlehandedly destroyed every increment of progress built in the Russian economy in the last two decades.

Unfortunately, he doesn't realize this yet, so he will bludgeon onward for another couple of weeks, sowing destruction and evil, while Russians lose faith in him.

Ultimately, he is the worst of losers — everyone loses in his game. But his Narcissism, like Trump's, will keep him hanging on for a long time after he gets his wings clipped. He will create a hallucinogenic image of reality and blame everyone but himself for the mess he created. Some key military officers might get the firing squad before Putin's power is removed.

9. Will Xi Jinping ever feel compelled to betray Vladimir Putin?

Because Putin trusts no one due to his Personality disorder (Machiavellian, Narcissist, Psychopath), he and Xi will only operate on a basis of POWER, not TRUST.

This means each will make decisions based on self-interest, not mutual interest.

Thus betrayal will be in the nature of the relationship as soon as their interests become misaligned.

10. What're you thoughts about this madness of Putin, do you think can maybe lead to World War III?

Everyone is wondering about this.

First, what looks like madness is the face of evil. Putin, in many ways, operates like Hitler. Putin's generals are not like ours — they are yes men with little moral backbone. They won't buck Putin and give him sound advice.

Second, at this stage it is not evident if the Russian Duma is countering his aggressiveness — yet.

Putin not only has underestimated his opposition, but he also still believes he can bulldoze his way to success. This is dangerous.

The Cease-Fire scheduled for Wednesday March 9 is just a delaying tactic. A cold front is advancing, the ground temperatures will freeze, eliminating the mud that has caused Russian vehicles to stick to the roads.

The Ukrainians must become aggressive in the next 24–36 hours, deploying the 20,000 missiles quickly because this is going to get ugly fast.

What concerns everyone is that Putin could deploy tactical nuclear weapons as a deterrent against our escalation. Hopefully we have cybersecurity that could prevent this type of response.

At this stage, the only player that could be a harness is China. Hopefully they are in Putin's face telling him not to trigger the tactical nuclear weapons.

In five days you will know the results. In the meantime, this is a time of great uncertainty.

We all can feel somewhat comforted by the calm adroitness of President Biden, who has shown stability and prudence at the helm in a crisis.

Time for a few prayers.

No in the short run; Yes in the long run.

The impact of the sanctions will have little impact on Putin's decisions in the next 30 days. He is obsessive compulsive about winning the war.

However, if Putin fails to win the war (which is highly likely), a month from now the situation will be very different. The Politburo and the people will create a force that will severely limit his powers and phase him out just like his predecessors over the last 60 years.

11. Does Vladimir Putin believe in his own propaganda?

To a large extent, yes.

Remember Putin is a Machiavellian, a Narcissist, and Psychopath.

Thus, he has delusions of grandeur, and creates a playbook where he is almighty. That's why he just committed 100% of his forces into the Ukraine — he believes he will win because he

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

must win. Might makes Right. And he thinks he's smarter than all his opponents combined. His ego will be his Achilles Heel.

He and those around him — the sycophants — will tell him what he wants to hear. Then they will promulgate propaganda that reinforces this hallucinatory narrative.

Hitler, with his propaganda machine run by Goebbels, did the same thing up until the end.

One of the reasons Authoritarians are bad leaders is because they believe their own lies.

12. How will Vladimir Putin try to overcome the Ukrainian and Western propaganda machine?

Putin's Propaganda Machine is built upon the basic foundation of Hitler's Propaganda Machine, which he has been using on steroids:

It is aimed at influence thoughts and actions of the Russian people, raising emotional turmoil to a level that has to be resolved for each individual. This was done in the form of labels, slogans, and ready-made judgments:

- 1. Name Calling giving an idea or person a bad name makes the public reject and condemn without examining the evidence.
- 2. Glittering Generality association of something with a "virtue word" or a "condemning word" used for the public to accept/approve or reject/doom something without real evidence.
- 3. Testimonial having someone respected (or hated) say that a given idea, program, or person is good or bad.
- 4. Transfer carries the authority, sanction, and prestige of something respected or revered over to something else in order to make the latter acceptable; or it carries authority, sanction, and disapproval to cause us to reject and disapprove of something.
- 5. Plain Folks the method used by a speaker to convince his audience that he and his ideas are good because they are "good for the people" the common man, just "plain folks."
- 6. Card Stacking -- selectively presenting facts or falsehoods, illustrations or distortions, and logical and/or illogical statements in order to give the best or worst possible case for an idea, program, person, or product.
- 7. Band Wagon using the theme "everybody at least all of us is doing it;" thereby the propagandist attempts to convince the public that all members of a group, to which we belong, are accepting his program and we must therefore follow our crowd.

8. Linking Half-Truths to Half-Lies — making a statement or assertion that is true, but then jumping to a conclusion or extension of the proposition that is either untrue or mostly a lie or even a complete outright lie. As the mind agrees with the first premise, it is more likely to accept the second premise.

Putin will amp-up these techniques and prevent any contrary information from causing cognitive dissonance in anyone's mind.

13. Does President Vladimir Putin remind you of Jim Jones of Jonestown?

There are some similarities and some differences.

Jim Jones was more like Rasputin than Putin.

Jones lacked the superior organizational talents of Putin.

Putin and Jones are/were Narcissistic. Both have/had delusions of grandeur.

Both are/were master manipulators (Machiavellian).

Both are/were demagogues.

Putin is psychopathic (without a conscience), Jones had a perverted conscience.

14. Can you ever trust Putin for his word?

No, never.

Putin is psychopathic, which means he is also paranoid of all people who oppose him. Putin trusts NO ONE. Therefore, he believes he must lie, cheat, steal, and deceive to establish POWER to stay in a position of authority,

But here's the problem. As a Master Machiavellian, he will mix half-truths with half-lies to convince his presumed allies of his rationale. Thus, you will never really know what is the real truth and what is a distortion unless you do your independent research.

🖳 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Trustworthy people have good character as their foundation. We must all learn to discern good character before deciding to trust anyone.

15. Does Putin care about the consequences he has wrought upon the Russian people by his obsession with taking Ukraine?

To answer this question, you must do a "mind flip," throw out everything you believe is right and just, and enter Putin's "heart of darkness."

He cares a lot about the consequences, but not in a magnanimous, wholesome way.

He cares that the Russian people view him in a God-like way, a great icon like Peter or Catherine the Great.

He cares that he has exerted POWER over this world, including the Russian people and the West where their is any opposition to his yearning for domination.

He cares that he is revered for his brilliant intelligence that guided his country to successful conquests.

He DOES NOT care that people have died nor does he care about economic consequences in Russia.

Why? Because, as a card-carrying PSYCHOPATH: he has no conscience, hence no compassion, no empathy, no soul. To this type of person, death and poverty are just the "cost of doing business" in the game of life itself.

Is this fair, reasonable, or ethical. No.

This is why we must educate people about how to recognize these leaders in politics, business, and community. Putin, like Trump, should have been weeded out and excommunicated before they could wield power and do horrible damage.

16. Are Kamala Harris and Putin friends?

It is impossible for Putin to have "friends"

Friendships are built around mutual trust.

Putin's Dark Personality, in particular his Psychopathic disorder prevents him from trusting anyone, except perhaps some of his own blood relationships.

17. Would Putin be open to be the 1st man to Mars?

Every once in a while I'd get an "off the wall question like this. I couldn't resist having a little fun:

Yikes! What a unique question!

Mars is named for **the ancient Roman god of war**. The Greeks called the planet Ares because its color resembles the color of blood.

So perhaps Putin is really a Martian alien????

18. Does president Putin regret that he invaded Ukraine and has to ask China for the military assistance and economic aid? Someone must find the way for Russia to retreat without losing face in order to stop the madness?

While your question is 100% logical from the mind of a normal, reasonable person, Putin doesn't see it that way. Remember, his a plagued with multiple disorders psychologically — Narcissism, Psychopathy, and Machiavellian Manipulations (the Dark Triad).

Thus he recognizes only power: control, winning, and subjugation.

Going to China for aid is just a normal thing for Putin — how do I make my forces bigger than the opposition?

Saving face computes differently for a Narcissistic Megalomaniac — he must show his greatness, his invincibility, his superior ability to outwit his opposition.

These are nearly impossible requirements. That's why a Brokered Peace "Deal" is more likely to prevail. Putin, like Hitler before him, would rather fight until the end in a relentless pursuit of an impossible delusion.

19. An American reporter said, "Everything that Putin is doing, he's learned from Americans. Especially the criminal activities." Do you agree with his statement?

Who ever said this was totally misinformed or misguided.

First, Putin could only learn these things because he suffers from the trio of maladies of extreme ego-maniacal Narcissism, Machiavellian Manipulation, and Psychopathological Lack of Conscience.

Second, he was trained as a KGB agent to be a master manipulator and deceiver.

Third, he has copied his playbook directly out of Stalin and Hitler's game plan. It is uncanny how many of his strategies come from these to ugly dark monsters.

I would like to meet the presumed American Report who spread such shallow analysis and give him or her a piece of my mind.

Part Two: PUTIN'S STRATEGY

20. What is the real cause of Putin's invasion of Ukraine, and when is it going to end?

Putin's stated objective was to reinstitute the former Soviet Empire. Ukraine was the first logical country to annex.

But deeper are his Megalomaniacal driving forces that caused him to believe he was invincible, omnipotent, and could intimidate everyone into submission to his iron fist.

It will end when either:

- China intercedes to be Peace Broker
- Internal Strife in Russia limits Putin's Power

History will prove Putin to be just another of civilization's despicable *Reptilian Barbarians*.

21. Does Russia now want to 'rule' the world?

No, not quite.

Remember the difference between the old Soviet Union (that wanted all of Europe and beyond,) compared to today's Russia, where Putin wants to reclaim all the territory lost after 1989.

Putin is also quite different from his predecessors, He is truly evil — a Machiavellian, Narcissist, and Psychopath. He has no conscience.

When we make verbal appeals and condemnations, these are no more than feel-good mental masturbations.

Putin understands only one thing — be clear about this — POWER.

Words are meaningless to him. The only way to stop him is to create a threat to his very existence.

As a former military officer, I offer this strategic advice:

Immediately, we must establish an **Alliance of Peaceful Nations** that takes concrete, hardnosed ACTION to establish a **DeConfliction Safety Zone** In Western Ukraine, The purpose is to prevent the expansion of the human crisis and prevent further war crimes. We must take control of the Western Ukraine NOW. We must establish this Zone as a Defensive Region, where we will only shoot when fired upon. From this Zone we can resupply the Ukrainian forces.

Putin will recognize will-power and fire power when concentrated, aligned, and clear that it is an *existential threat*.

I'm a strong advocate of Negotiations, but this will only work when we hold an olive branch in one hand and arrows in the other, wielded by an eagle.

22. Does Putin see the West as weak?

Certainly this was the case before the war started.

- NATO was divided
- The EU was not United
- The US was horribly divided
- Biden's massive gaff in Afghanistan displayed incompetence.

All this has changed, primarily as a reaction to Putin's invasion.

Now Putin is the incompetent leader who has made one of the biggest blunders in modern military history, with no way out.

23. What is Vladimir Putin hoping to achieve, gain, etc. by ordering his Russian Armed Forces to invade Ukraine in 2022?

He doesn't seem to have been very rational in his objectives, but

- 1. He wanted to restore the glory of old Soviet Russia creating a Grand Russia.
- 2. He wanted to install a puppet government in Kyiv like the one in Belarus, or the ones that Stalin set up throughout Central Europe after WWIII.
- 3. He wanted to prevent the development of a democratic and reformist government in Ukraine that would set a bad example for Russia.
- 4. He wanted to have Russian occupation of Crimea and eastern Ukraine legitimized.

Putin is a Narcissistic, Machiavellian Psychopath who knows only one thing: POWER. Add to this he now has delusions of grandeur, is paranoid, and deeply fearful, covering his insecurity with macho-megalomaniacal behavior.

In addition to hard-nosed military warfare, he has engaged in psychological warfare applying Fear, Uncertainty, Doubt, Distrust and Discord.

He is using these strategies to take the Ukraine, yes to confront NATO, but more important he doesn't what its people — he wants the land and the natural and agricultural resources it has.

24. Would the Russian government start a nuclear war if Putin (leader of Russia) was assassinated and it was determined to be an act of the CIA?

First, the CIA would not assassinate Putin. If Putin was assassinated, the CIA would never leave a trace of their involvement.

Second, if Putin was assassinated, it would more likely be by someone within his circle, much like the attempts to assassinate Hitler.

Third, and this is the scary part, if Russians then blamed the CIA, regardless of who the perpetrator was, then crazy heads were engaged, and the outcomes could be horrific.

25. How did Putin become a leader who rules Russia and intrigues the world?

The Strategy used by Putin to gain power fits the pattern used all over world history.

Putin is an Authoritarian, just like Hitler, Mao, Napoleon, Castro, and numerous others.

They seldom have a real, coherent ideology that propel them to lead, but instead insert themselves as the saviors when there are high levels of fear, uncertainty, doubt, distrust, and discord — the conditions that were inside Russia.

This brings forth the fundamental question:

- How do we prevent Authoritarian Leaders from power? There are two ways to enable preventative measures:
 - 1. Make everyone aware of what Authoritarians look like, and the damage they create to erect barriers to their gaining power.
- 2. To Strengthen Democracies so the people elect those who can represent them with honor and honesty. We need to make this much stronger, judging them on the basis of their good character, not the party to which they belong.

26. How did Putin take Europe to the brink of war again?

Let's address the question of WHY then HOW.

Putin has delusions of grandeur. He believes his legacy will be to reform the boundaries of the Russian Empire.

This drove his obsession with POWER and the acquisition of TERRITORY.

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Further, he thinks he is smarter and bolder than any of his adversaries. This drives him to believe he can get away with taking over countries, with the threat of pushing the button without consequences.

Now, HOW:

This arrogance became his downfall, because, like all tyrants, be starts drinking his own bathwater fed to him by sycophants with whom he fills his tub.

Putin is also a victim of his own experience as a spy who can trust no one. His KGB background plus his psychopathic personality means he can trust no one, even those closest to him.

Add to this the failure to understand 21st century military strategy. In all his other conflicts — Chechnya, Syria, etc - he could bludgeon his way to victory with superior force against an inferior power. Never had he faced a highly spirited opponent supported by a superior force with great intelligence.

Further, Putin, like so many old military leaders who are ensconced in the past, invaded Ukraine with a WWII mentality. He learned how Stalin defeated Hitler, but then flipped the roles and played Hitler, mimicking the Anschluss and then extended his lines of logistics too far, just like Hitler's mistake getting sucked into Russia in WWII.

We are now seeing how a man's megalomania has cost the world a horrific price.

If anyone ever believed authoritarian leaders are superior to a democracy, this should prove why democracies work better — they don't start crazy wars.

27. Would Putin actually use Nukes against the U.S., knowing his countries existence would be gone, along with his families? Even he would have to understand that the US and allies would hit him from everywhere!

This is the "Elephant in the Room" question that has no clear answer.

We all know Putin has the control of the "button."

We know he has two levels of nuclear capability: tactical battlefield weapons and strategic nuclear holocaust. Tactical usage could trigger strategic devastation.

Russia, like the US, has protocols for when and how to use each of their weapons systems.

The biggest unknown is Putin himself. Is he sane? Or is he faking insanity to throw us off his gambits? My guess is he is perfectly sane, otherwise those who are around him would take away or limit his sole authority.

No one knows if his generals that would execute Putin's orders would blindly follow his orders or refrain from nuclear holocaust. Remember, when Hitler gave his general in charge of Paris to blow up the city, the general rejected the order.

Bear in mind, Putin is cold-blooded, without conscience — a classic psychopath. No one wants to take the chance he will be angry, irrational, and do anything to win the game according to his hideous rules.

That's why we must employ a containment strategy, which I've outlined in detail in the first question.

- 28. Is Putin in a no-win scenario? Sure, he can make things ugly in Ukraine for awhile, but at this point it seems like it's a choice between a collapsed economy, backing down like a loser, or global thermonuclear war which destroys the planet.
- 29. Why is Putin putting the nuclear forces on alert? Is it just to pressure others? Has he just completely lost it?

Putin is not crazy, He just wants us to think he's crazy, unpredictable, and reckless. – Clarification: He is predictable, we must counter him from his frame of reference, not ours.

Chess player Gary Kasparov said that Putin doesn't play the situation on the chess board, he plays the opponent.

Making NATO think he's crazy limits the range of options Putin's opponents can exercise.

30. Putin threatens to use nukes even if we just get in his way. Many politicians & voters then say we'd better not "poke the Bear". But what happens later on if we give in to nuclear blackmail now?

Your question has kept strategists up at night, wrestling for the right answer.

History will tell us a lot about the answer, as this dilemma goes back to Greek and Roman times.

International Collaborative Leadership Institute

But the best example is Hitler, and what we should have done to stop him. Without going into a long dissertation, what we now know about human behavior gives us a clear pathway forward.

First, we must understand that Putin's personality is VERY EVIL — see

http://www.iclinstitute.com/Beware the Beast -- Authoritarian Dark Triad V1.11.pdf

Second, Evil will not go away and will only respond to POWER. This power must be consistent, clear, and unrelenting. There must be NO SIGN of WEAKENESS. And it must be jointly applied from all sides. We are doing this now. I'm impressed how NATO, Biden, the EU and others are walking this tightrope. That's why we don't want a No-Fly Zone — it would provoke the bear into an attack, versus surrounding the bear. The ancient Chinese stratagem of "death by a thousand cuts" is more effective.



Because Putin trusts no one due to his Personality disorder (Machiavellian, Narcissist, Psychopath), he and Xi will only operate on a basis of POWER, not TRUST.

This means each will make decisions based on self-interest, not mutual interest.

Thus betrayal will be in the nature of the relationship as soon as their interests become misaligned.

31. Can Putin be stopped by the use of a sanction?

32. Is Putin crazy enough to attempt to "win" this war at any cost just to save face?

This is an important but thorny question.

- First, because Putin is a Narcissist, and because he must keep the pride of the Russian people to stay in power, Putin has to save face.
- Second, the longer Putin prolongs the war, the more difficult the extrication becomes. He is a bulldozer. As the war crimes mount, the less amenable the Western World will be to a solution where Putin wins.

What's going to be left on the table is small: Keep Donbas and Crimea, withdraw from the rest of the Ukraine, and accept that Moldova and Ukraine will end up as NATO Protectorates.

The only way this will happen is if a third party, namely China intercedes to broker the "deal." Then Putin can make a big deal over how Russia and China ended the war.

Putin is in a no-win scenario. He has limited choices:

- he can make things grotesque in Ukraine, but the world will turn against him, and collapse his economy
- admit defeat, backing down, which makes him a big loser,
- global thermonuclear war which destroys the planet.
- accept a bartered agreement that gives him the Donbas and Crimea and declare he "won" to save face with the Russian people.

Of these four, only the last has any chance of materializing.

No, the Ukrainians will not like this Lousy solution, but that's "Realpolitik" — neither party gets what they want, both have to give in a bit to save "lives" in Ukraine and "face" in Russia. (believe me I don't like trading "lives" for "face," but there may not be many other options.)



33. Why might Vladimir Putin be seriously considering using chemical weapons in Ukraine? Is it because the invasion into that country isn't going as quickly as he had intended, or are there other reasons?

Yes, Putin is getting desperate. He's thrashing out because the war is going badly.

His strategy of spreading FUDDD (Fear, Uncertainty, Doubt, Distrust, & Dischord) is now in high gear. That's why this question gets asked.

Putin needs to build internal Russian support. Hence, he tells another lie to his people that the Ukrainians are using chemical weapons. That gets Russians angry.

Putin is using the threat of "asymmetric warfare" — fighting economic sanctions with chemical threats.

Just like the threat of using nukes, this gambit is designed to unsettle his opponents, who are now perplexed about his mental stability.

If he goes beyond threats and uses chemical weapons, it will be to lay siege to a city. If the city doesn't give up, just bypass the city, drop in chemical weapons, and return later after all are dead, but the city is intact. (Hideous to think about, but nothing is beyond a man with no conscience.)

The actual chances of using biological weapons is low (but not 0%).

Our strategy to expose his Chemical Warfare gambit diminishes a lot of the power of this ploy.

Should he play this card, the West would have to declare a humanitarian zone in a large part of Ukraine, further expanding the war. Putin might see this as too risky and stay away from chemical and biological weapons.

34. What will happen if Putin launches a chemical weapons attack?

This will tip the scales of Moral Indignity.

The West will be forced to establish Humanitarian Peace Zones in 2/3 of the Ukraine, and escalate the support of Ukrainians in the combat zone.

This will likely cause China to intervene to Broker the Peace

35. Isn't Putin's Scheme Falling him already?

Putin believes he is better, smarter, more powerful, and a better schemer than anything the opposition can throw at him.

He believes, with the proper application of psychological warfare and military might, plus a better scheme, he will win the game.

There has been nothing the west had done to make him think he is failing.

Until we carve out Western Ukraine as a De-Confliction Zone as a "Protectorate" to prevent human carnage and more war crimes, Putin will continue what we consider "recklessness" war crimes, but he considers "strategic application of power."

36. I heard through a good source that NATO wanted Putin to invade Ukraine to deplete his conventional forces in a proxy war as a warning to China to step back from Taiwan. Does this sound realistic?

For every reality, there is always some spook who comes up with a phony conspiracy theory or misattributes cause and effect.

This is so preposterous as to be insane.

How on earth would NATO, which consists of 30 nations who must agree on strategy, ever conceive such insanity.

If NATO were guilty of a mistake, it would only be that they may have thought Putin would never be so bold as to overextend himself by trying to take too big a bite out of the Ukraine, instead of just achieving objectives (like full absorption of the Donbas) that were easy and would not trigger massive adversity.

37. Where will Vladimir Putin stop?

As a Predator, Putin will try to get away with everything he can devour. Thus, he will try to take all of the Ukraine.

- If it is too costly because the Ukrainians fight back ferociously, he will try a smaller chunk
 maybe the Eastern half of the Ukraine.
- If the shark gets bitten very badly, taking too many losses in early fighting he will withdraw to a place where he is sure to win. This means he will be satisfied with the Donbas region, then declare victory, stating he was only covering his flanks to ensure he conquered the "Russian Provinces" on Ukraine's Eastern Border.

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

We have known for years that Putin was a Master Machiavellian – cold, calculating, willing to exert power for any reason that would give him an advantage. He is a master of deceit, using cunning and guile to conceal his real motives. You could never trust his words, using language such as "peace-keeping" to disguise his evil motives.

However, his recent declaration of a rationale for overtaking Ukraine revealed two more dimensions of bestial darkness. When he said he wanted to take back all the land of the former Soviet Empire, he showed his formerly hidden Narcissistic side, trying to build an ego-centric, self-aggrandizing legacy for himself.

And when he risked the well-being of all Russian and Ukrainian citizens, both soldiers and civilians, he revealed his Psychopathic side – willing to engage in cold-blooded mass killings to achieve his evil intentions.

Together, we must all stand strong, be courageous, call out predatory leaders for what they are, and use every ethical means in our power to remove them from positions of authority. This is what the Collaborative Shift is all about.

It would be very difficult to institute the form of Communism advocated by Marx and Lenin for several reasons:

- 1. Russians have had 30 years of owning property. They don't want to give up their property, whether it be a house, car, farm, or small business to be chained to communal ownership. Communism failed because it forced people to do something that deprived them of self-initiative.
- 2. However, neither would they power elites want to give up control. They make too much money as oligarchs.

This leaves the Russians with the third option: a Fascist Socialism, where some large entities are controlled by the state, and smaller businesses controlled locally. It will remain a police state.

The question of Putin's longevity as dictator is coming under deep scrutiny with the Ukraine fiasco. The people don't trust him, and neither do the oligarchs.

As the Russian economy is dragged into a deep Depression as a result of being isolated by sanctions, the power elite will either remove him, or force him to be constrained by the Russian Politburo, which is the highest policy making authority in the Communist Party (they really aren't Communists in the strict sense of the term)

The Politburo cannot possibly see that the attempted acquisition of Ukraine as a smart move. My guess is that within a month they will rein in Putin, and he could be gone by the end of the year. But the Donbas region will stay under Russian control.

His replacement will be cold, calculating, and cunning, but not a megalomaniac like Putin. His replacement will be charming, duplicitous, and superficially more empathetic to the Russian people.

Keep a careful eye on the NATO Special Response team, which will go into action about Feb 28 or Mar 1. That will determine much of the immediate future. Putin may threaten Nukes, but the saner

minds in the Politburo will know this bluff has limited value. Putin wants us to think he's gone crazy — maybe he has, but I don't think he's in total control of the decision-making apparatus.

38. Why doesn't Putin just launch 1 million troops to fully conquer Ukraine in a similar fashion like Operation Barbarossa?

The analogy to Operation Barbarossa (attack on Russia by Hitler) or Hitler's blitzkrieg on Poland in 1939 is apt.

Why did Putin not do this? Because he was arrogant, believing Ukrainians would not fight back and the West was weak and would be a tiger with a big tongue and no teeth.

Putin's grandiosity also had him biting off too big a chunk, and not concentrating his forces where he could win.

Furthermore, Putin doesn't have a million troops available. These would have to be recruited and trained and fed. Unlike the days of old when you could win a battle using people as "cannon fodder," in modern warfare, beginning with WWI, the advent of the machine gun, or sub-machine gun gave the advantage to weaponry, not manpower.

39. Why is NATO avoiding a direct confrontation with Moscow?

NATO wisely understands that Putin is a cornered rat, irrational, and has no conscience. Also, Russian protocol for triggering nuclear weapons has a far lower barrier than NATO, which means Russia could make a mistake easier and faster.

With this in mind, NATO is rightly concerned that an irrational rat could bite by ordering a nuclear attack via a command system of Russian senior officers acting like Robots.

40. Why does Putin dislike NATO?

Putin has one overarching goal: reclaim Eastern Europe for his vision of a Russian Empire.

NATO is his biggest obstacle, and democracy undermines his need to control. He sees democracies as too fickle to run an empire; only authoritarian régimes can be stable.

While we may not like his perspective, it is his reality. As a Predator, anything that blocks his desire for unbridled acquisition can only be an enemy.

41. Does Putin actually want a direct confrontation with NATO or the U.S.?

No, Putin doesn't want an outright cataclysm with NATO.

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

We must first recognize that Putin thought, and still thinks, that NATO will not engage in Ukraine for fear of starting WWIII.

Also realize that Putin's #1 tactic is to spread FUDDD — Fear, Uncertainty, Doubt, Distrust & Discord.

Oh, how he's reveling in the FUDDD that has hamstrung NATO, that can't show its strength because we can't fathom Putin's presumably irrational mind.

Putin understands only one thing — POWER. Human Rights and all those niceties of "good" people are laughable to him.

In his mind, he will take over all Ukraine with impunity, without NATO intervening. Human suffering and military setbacks are meaningless as long as he wins the territory.

So far he's been right. I still cannot fathom why the NATO countries have not set up a Protective Peace Zone in Western Ukraine to provide a safe harbor for refugees. Nor a Demilitarized Zone in central Ukraine manned by a UN style peace keeping force. The fact that we have not taken concrete action to prevent Putin's expansion is proof to Putin NATO is a toothless tiger with claws and a big tongue.

Sure, we will talk a lot with eloquent tongues spouting condemnations, and claw about with economic sanctions and weapons, but we won't get our fur in the fight.

42. Why is Vladimir Putin blaming NATO for five assassination attempts on his life since he became President of Russia? Where is his proof? Does Oliver Stone know who was behind it?

Putin is a calculating Machiavellian. This means he uses every opportunity to turn a situation to his personal advantage.

Remember, Gary Kasparov, the great chess player, said Putin plays the opponent, not the situation on the board.

Whether Putin has been the target of five assassination attempts might not even be true, because you cannot believe Putin's words. He lies because he trusts no one. Remember, Putin cannot trust because he is also a cold-blooded Psychopath.

Thus, he will blame someone else to gain advantage over his opponent. Anyone who is not under his control is his opponent. Because NATO and democracy is his opponent, then it is appropriate to blame NATO, even if there was no assassination attempt.

By blaming NATO, he mobilizes the Russian people to think they are under attack and will unify against NATO to save Mother Russia. This is an age-old Authoritarian Trick. Recall Hitler blamed the Jews for all of Germany's problems. Same game; different target.

43. Will Putin decide to attack NATO, or has he made the decision yet?

Putin knows that a military attack on NATO is futile.

That's why he's attacked Ukraine and will move on to Moldova because they are not under NATO's protectorate.

On the other hand, Putin has been using asymmetric warfare on NATO countries, using cyberwarfare, interfering in elections, and promulgating fake news on media channels.

44. Why will NATO fall apart and make many mistakes after Putin takes Kiev?

You begin with presumptions that have no credence or veracity.

Why do you believe NATO will fall apart? Based on evidence, NATO is more united, more committed, more dedicated, more resolved, more aligned than ever in its history. Putin's strategy to attack Ukraine was also based on false presumptions - specifically that Ukraine would crumble and NATO was weak.

Who said Putin will take Kyiv? Do you have a crystal ball? While Kyiv is nearly surrounded as I write this response, they haven't lost yet. I've fought in a war — it is filled with many twists and turns. The Russians have the might, but not the right. The Russians have the power, but not the energy of the Ukrainians.

Many times armies have marched arrogantly into traps where, in just moments they became surrounded and soundly defeated. The Russians have already lost over 6,000 men, which, if historical patterns prevail with one death to every three wounded, means 18,000 wounded, for a total of nearly 25,000 casualties.

The Russians have, as of midnight entering March 12th, lost close to 30 bombers and fighter jets, 50 helicopters, 125 tanks and armoured vehicles, and perhaps 100 tanker trucks. With morale low, the Russians are taking a whupping. They have now thrown nearly 100% of their force into the Ukraine, which is taking heavy losses too.

But remember, people who defend their own land have twice the motivation to fight — they have grit, courage, ethical righteousness, and unlimited passion. Add to this 35,000 Javelin and Stinger missiles and Rocket Propelled grenades.

Don't count out the underdog,

And even if Putin wins this battle, he has lost the world around him. His legacy is now carved into his gravestone in history's cemetery as a fool, loser, tyrant, barbarian, and war criminal. The epitaph on his gravestone should be:

What will it profit a man if he gains the whole world, yet forfeits his soul?

- Mathew 16:26

45. What's stopping NATO countries to stage a false flag attack so they can be involved in war and crush Putin?

False flag operations can trigger the adverse impacts of the *Law of Unintended Consequences* — evil just begets more evil.

The best approach to this situation is to trigger *Moral Indignation* which unifies and is based on the truth, not falsehood.

46. What are false flags? Which country has used false flag? Why did the UK believe that Russia is still planning a false flag chemical or biological attack on Ukraine?

The strategy of using "false flags" dates back to ancient history, and has been used as a deceptive technique extensively in warfare.

For example, a British warship might fly the flag of a neutral country until it came close to an enemy ship, then, just before getting ready to open its gunports, would take down the neutral flag, then hoist up the British Union Jack, then open fire on the unsuspecting enemy that was lulled into complacency.

This was also used in WWII by the Germans at the tail end of WWII after Allied troops landed in Germany. Hitler had trained a number of Germans to speak and act like Americans. Donning US uniforms, and changing road signs in France, they misdirected American tanks and troops into traps to be slaughtered.

The British were masters of deceit during WWII because they were so outnumbered. One famous trick involved dumping a dead "courier" with a briefcase handcuffed to his hand. In the briefcase were false documents indicating a landing of Allied troops where Hitler expected them, but not where they were really going to land.

Putin has used this trick in another manner. In the Donbas he would dress Russian soldiers in Ukrainian uniforms, then fire on Russian soldiers from Ukrainian positions. Putin would claim the Ukrainians were attacking Russians, thus justifying advancing against Ukrainian 'aggressors.'

From European federalism Jaap Folmer, European federalist

The term false flag operation refers to an action by one power or military while carrying the flag, or the uniforms, insignia etc. of its opponent/enemy.

An infamous example is what Hitler did in September 1939. He ordered his own soldiers to put on Polish uniforms, slip across the border and start shooting back at their own comrades on German soil.

Shortly after, Hitler declared to his own people "und jetzt wird's zuruckgeschossen" (and now we will shoot "back") The crowd cheered him on, while he ordered the beginning of WWII, putting the blame on Poland for having done so.

False flag operation typically have that objective: to be able to put the blame on the opponent for something you are planning to do yourself. They have great propagandistic value, but they are also against International Laws governing what a country may or may not do in wartime. Putting on your enemy's uniform is not among that.

47. What happens if Putin surrounds the capital and destroys critical infrastructure, block aid coming in, and just shells the city into submission? Is that even a possibility?

48. Who does Vladimir Putin respect and would take advice from?

This is a very problematic question.

First, he will NOT listen to anyone who is an opponent. He'd believe they were trying to trick him.

Second, he has surrounded himself with sycophants who will not challenge him with real information and sound advice.

Third, until a combination of Generals, Oligarchs, and Politburo members TOGETHER confront him with reality, he will blunder along into perdition.

Fourth, this leaves only one person of great power who can influence him: Xi Jen Ping in China. Xi will play the card carefully, making sure that China comes out of any bargain in a position of power.

🔝 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Count on China maneuvering the global chessboard to be far more powerful as Xi dictates terms to Russia that gives Chinese finance and business interests a much bigger stake in the Russian economy.

Putin is a loser that doesn't realize he has already lost the game. He should have read Sun Tzu.

Of all the strategic blunders of the last 150 years, Putin's attack on Ukraine ranks #4, behind the entanglements that launched WWI, Germany's invasion of Russia, and Japan's attack on Pearl Harbor.

One of the laws of strategic warfare is never to engage in a strategy you can't win.

Another law is to cut your losses when it's clear you can't win.

Putin is the worst of losers because he will create horrific lose-lose situations before ultimate defeat. Hitler did the same thing.

The only way out for Putin is if China's Xi Jinping intercedes as a peace broker.

Guess who wins in that scenario? Right: China

49. Is there any chance that Putin's generals can convince him to stop or withdraw?

While there is a "chance" several things would have to happen:

- Putin receives accurate information about how badly the Ukrainian Fiasco is going, and starts to see this is not just a result of bad leadership by military command.
- All the commanders present a united front upon confronting Putin. If just a few try to speak truth to power, Putin will carve them up.
- If additional pressure comes from other power-bases, such as the oligarchs and the Duma (parliament) from within his own party, plus the Communist party, who are reacting to the unrest in their constituencies.

As of March 14, Putin has already sacked 7 generals and placed them under house arrest. Another 3 have been killed in battle.

50. Why is Putin not deterred by sanctions threats?

Putin cares little about the sanctions unless they prohibit him from his key objective: the exercise of POWER. This is his primary personality trait. To him, Power means an empire and

the acquisition of territory. He is obsessed with his legacy. He believes reclaiming the lands lost in the collapse of the Soviet Union would make him famous in Russian history.

In the case of Ukraine, since he already deployed his armies, he would look foolish to withdraw the troops without a victory, which would destroy his Narcissistic Self Image.

Putin is a Machiavellian who consumed with plots and schemes. He believes he has out-schemed his opponents (he thinks he is smarter than everyone).

Putin is also psychopathic with no conscience and thus no real regard for human suffering. Dead bodies and refugees mean nothing to him,

For these reasons, sanctions have little effect.

In the end, the forces in Ukraine will take back their country, however painful. Also, the Russian people are smart enough to know that Putin has lied to them about Ukraine. This could be his undoing.

Prediction: Putin will be out of power by year's end.

51. What is the difference between a "Russian Czarist policy" and "Russia as a superpower policy" narratives? Do you agree with some analysts that Putin is driven by the Czarist narrative?

While there are certainly similarities between the Czarist control of Russian serfs that triggered the Bolshevik Revolution, this would not be a model for Putin's Russia.

Putin relies on the people being behind him. He needs the economy to be strong. The Czars did not hold these values.

On the other side of the coin, Putin resembles the Czars in the concentration of power in the hands of a few and the abhorrence of a democracy.

The problem in comparing Putin to an era that ended over 100 years ago is that we tend to pigeon-hole our understanding of Putin, and not see him for what he really is.

Some have also compared Putin to Rasputin. That's a more fascinating comparison.

It's more likely that Putin, when he used a role model, selected Stalin.

52. Has Vladimir Putin just plain "Lost it" with his threats against Sweden, Finland, Latvia, Lithuania & Estonia which would put NATO on a Third World War 3 footing?

These kinds of threats are not unusual for Putin. Remember, he has a KGB mindset, Psychological Warfare is part of his portfolio of stratagems.

This looks toward the application of FUDDD — Fear, Uncertainty, Doubt, Distrust, and Divisiveness.

This technique BEFUDDLES the brain with unclear messages, outcomes, and alternatives. That's his intent.

Should we take him seriously? Yes, because part of the FUDDD strategy is to inter-mix Truth with Deceit. However, one can never really separate the truth from deceit in his messages. Perhaps he doesn't know either. That's why he can lie with impunity.

So, no he hasn't "lost it." He's just doing what he believes will unsettle his opponent. And the sad thing is: it works. Just look at our collective reactions.

53. Why does Putin seem to be starting to distance himself from his generals and advisers?

Putin's Personality Disorder (Machiavellian, Psychopath, Narcissist), combined with his KGB background means he trusts NO ONE.

All his Generals are "yes men" who are Putin's puppets. They take his orders; he threatens them with Court Martial if they don't achieve his directives.

Anyone who bucks Putin is eliminated.

Putin is delusional, believing his own distortions.

Thus Putin has grown far more distant from reality, his generals, his political base, his oligarchs, and his people.

This won't last for too much longer — Putin beware.

54. How long shall the world stand and watch Mr. Putin's atrocities in Ukraine? Can't he be taken out by the West?

While taking out Putin seems like a simple thing to do, there are many reasons for not doing so.

- 1. How do you get to him? He is guarded by layers of security.
- 2. If we start taking out leaders, they will do the same to us. This is the unwritten rule and probably written into international law.
- 3. We know it's better for the internal forces within Russia to take this action, so we don't trigger the Law of Unintended Adverse Consequences.

55. When was the moment Putin achieved absolute power in Russia?

There was no "moment" when this occurred.

Putin did not take over via a "coup d'état" so he took power over 20 years "a bite at a time."

Putin will soon understand he does not hold "absolute" power. The Communist Party is realizing he is a damaging Russia with this awful war.

Russia has had a history of taking out rulers or competition they don't like.

Putin is proving to the Russian Power Elite that absolute power corrupts absolutely.

56. What could other nations do to force Russians into removing Putin from his position?

I have studied regime changes over the last 300 years.

There are several ways this occurs:

- Assassination Often the Assassins are worse than the ruler they take out.
- Coup d'état Usually this is done by the military, which means more authoritarian rule
- Referendum the People vote him out
- Erosion of Power Bases the forces that support the tyrant withdraw their support or create a unity of power against him

Of these options, the last two are always better than the first two. The peaceful options create more sustainable and stable results.

57. Why don't they assassinate Putin?

Who is "they?"

First, the CIA would not assassinate Putin. This would trigger retaliation, with attempts on major figures in the West..

Second, if Putin was assassinated, it would more likely be by someone within his circle, much like the attempts to assassinate Hitler. His security force would either have to be penetrated, or one of the security force members would have to become executioner.

In assassinations, often the new person coming into power is worse than the victim.

The best approach is for the Duma (the Russian version of Congress) to force him out of office, leaving the Prime Minister as his legitimate successor.

58. How is Putin right in what he's doing?

To answer this question, one must put themselves inside Putin's Brain.

Putin is a Psychopath, which, by definition, means he is a man "without a conscience." Thus, Putin has no moral compass, no way to gauge what is right or wrong. This is **EVIL**, pure and simple. Historically humanity has referred to this behavior as "the **Devil**."

Without morality, ethics have no value in is computation of reality and options to take action with righteousness and reasonableness to guide him.

In his concept of what is "right" he filters out all the data about deaths and accepts only the data that gives him Power.

For Putin, *Might Makes Right!*

I know this is unpalatable to normal humans, which is the reason we have to eliminate the reincarnation of Hitler.

59. What happens when Ukrainian President Zelensky obtains a limited nuclear weapon and covertly sneaks it into Moscow and assassinates Putin with it? Where would the weapon have come from?

First, it's doubtful Zellensky would obtain such a weapon unless it was on Ukrainian soil and brought there by the Russian Forces. NATO would not provide such a weapon.

Second, it's doubtful Zellensky would use such a weapon. Ukraine has prided itself on being a nuclear weapon free country. Remember they gave up their nuclear weapons in 1991 in exchange for a security agreement.

Third, the West is counting on existing powers in Russia to clip Putin's wings or remove him from power. How that happens is an open question.

60. Is Vladimir Putin equivalent to the warlord Hannibal that strives to destroy a rotted empire?

The idea of a Warlord is a feudal concept from the Middle Ages

A Warlord controls a region of a country, not an entire country — that's a dictator.

Warlords have regional armies, orchestrate regional economies, and ensure political control over their territory.

Warlords exist where there is a weak central government.

In Medieval Europe, there were many feudal principalities before the concept of nationstates emerged.

Machiavelli's book "The Prince" became the handbook used to this day by those who choose to control their people.

In a sense you could think of Putin as a Warlord over an entire country because he uses many of the strategies of Warlords, but at the National Level he becomes an Authoritarian Dictator.

61. Will Putin really press the nuclear button over Ukraine?

Your question has kept strategists up at night wrestling for the right answer.

History will tell us a lot about the answer, as this dilemma goes back to Greek and Roman times.

But the best example is Hitler, and what we should have done to stop him. Without going into a long dissertation, what we now know about human behavior gives us a clear pathway forward.

First, we must understand that Putin's personality is VERY EVIL — see

http://www.iclinstitute.com/Beware_the_Beast_--_Authoritarian_Dark_Triad_V1.11.pdf

📠 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Second, Evil will not go away and will only respond to POWER. This power must be consistent, clear, and unrelenting. There must be NO SIGN of WEAKENESS. And it must be jointly applied from all sides. We are doing this now. I'm impressed how NATO, Biden, the EU and others are walking this tightrope. That's why we don't want a No-Fly Zone — it would provoke the bear into an attack, versus surrounding the bear. The ancient Chinese stratagem of "death by a thousand cuts" is more effective.

62. Is it true that Putin will double down before he backs down?

Putin is cold-blooded (psychopathic) so loss of life means nothing to him.

Putin is a master manipulator (Machiavellian), so he thinks he can win this war, by using his own ego-centric brilliance plus massive firepower.

Putin is a trying to make himself as revered as Peter the Great (narcissism) which cannot tolerate the possibility of losing this war.

Putin is obsessive-compulsive, thus he will bulldoze his way forward, no matter what the costs.

This is what is unfolding before your eyes.

63. What are the Russian oligarchs doing to hang on to their wealth and possessions?

The strategy to hide assets is the same as used by any Mafia organization:

- 1. Turn the assets into cash and bury it in accounts in places like the Cayman Islands or China.
- 2. Put the assets into layers of holding/hiding companies run by third parties. The typical assets for this approach include land and stocks. Often these are corporations where several oligarchs hold major investments, and collude to keep their investments hidden from government authorities.
- 3. Often there is a private bank involved which is chartered in an unregulated country.
- 4. Oher money is parked in the black market, or even more ugly trades such as child trafficking and human slavery.
- 5. The darkest corner of finance is Crypto Currency. Oligarchs are moving money into this device. The US Congress has introduced legislation to ban transfers into Crypto. Watch this carefully, because it could impact the value of Crypto. (If you are a Crypto investor, I wouldn't want to hold stock in this unreliable form of barter there is nothing to guarantee its value be forewarned)

64. Why is the Russian government and Putin lying to their own people?

All dictators like Hitler and Putin use a huge propaganda machine to manipulate the minds of their people.

The strategy of mind manipulation is essential to keep control of an internal revolt by their own people when they know the truth.

This is all a part of the FUDDD Strategy against the external enemy: Fear, Uncertainty, Doubt, Distrust, & Discord. Hitler used the Jews as his external target, Putin is using the lie about Ukrainian "nazis."

This is perverse, grotesque, and evil to the core.

65. Has Putin said the US sanctions are akin to a declaration of war?

Yes, Putin has made this claim. Yes, economic sanctions are what's considered "soft warfare."

Putin, the Master Manipulator, will say anything to gain advantage over his opponent. He will spread FUDDD (Fear, Uncertainty, Doubt, Distrust & Discord) in any place where he thinks it will stick.

We have to take Putin seriously, but recognize that 50% of everything he says is pure bluff. The problem is, you don't know what is a bluff, and what is serious.

That's his strategy.

66. What is Putin's Propaganda Strategy?

The purpose of Propaganda is to BEFUDDLE the mind. (this is an acronym)

- **B**lame Enemies have been around since the dawn of humanity. Tribalism runs deep into the roots of human civilization since the days of the caveman.
- Enemies Historically the branding of a group as an "enemy" has been a sign that some person or group was a threat to the tribe's survival. Hitler, Mussolini, Stalin, and the Kim clan have been regarded as enemies outsiders with intensions to do harm. We see Putin and Al Qaeda this way. Polarization, casting those who
 - act or think differently as evil-intentioned, conspiring adversaries.
- **F**ear Triggering extreme anxiety that others pose a dangerous threat to my existence and my beliefs.
- **U**ncertainty Causing the perception that nothing is stable, nothing is real, what I dislike must be "fake news."

International Collaborative Leadership Institute

- **D**oubt Undermining reality by asking questions designed to shake beliefs about the motives of others.
- **D**istrust Spreading misgivings and casting aspersions for the purpose of creating cynicism and suspicion.
- Lies Purposefully spreading disinformation, usually connecting a half-truth with a falsehood.
- Extremism Radicalization, multiplication, and amplification of all of the above by inflaming emotions.
- Discord Using these tactics in a manipulative way to benefit one person or group who alone can fix it.

This strategy was developed by Hitler's chief propagandist, Josef Goebbels. Here's how it works in America today: (the Russians do the same thing, but on steroids.)

67. Could Putin rebuild Russia to the glory of the former Union of Soviet and Socialist Republics?

This is Putin's Delusional Dream.

His chance of achieving this is *less than Zero* (in other words, his has moved his dream into reverse.)

- He is too old
- He has lost the trust of the investment world, and this will eventually extend to his people.
- His country is bankrupt
- He will never gain the territory
- He is incompetent as a builder-architect of a nation
- No other country will help him, except for China, which will extract a pound of flesh in the bargain.

68. Did Putin commit a blunder by giving too much build up before attacking Ukraine? Should it have been a lightning attack instead?

Yes, it was a multi-dimensional blunder of epic proportions.

Putin's ego-maniacal delusions of grandeur, his arrogant belief he is smarter than anyone else, his cold-blooded lack of conscience, and his overblown image of himself as a master manipulator blinded himself — caused the Law of Unintended consequences to bury him.

Even after things were clearly imploding, he believed he could bludgeon and bulldoze his way to success.

Any Oriental Martial Arts master (Putin presumably has a black belt) knows you leverage the opponents force to your advantage. He certainly flunked.

What Putin could not fathom is that all those maneuvers north of Ukraine two weeks before the attack created intel that the US knew he was going to attack a week before it happened, eliminating the element of surprise. Pure arrogance from Putin.

Even if he had tried to do a lightning blitzkrieg attack, his Army was incapable of executing such a maneuver. He places so many sycophants around himself that no one could give his accurate information for fear of being sent to Siberia.

In the annals of modern military history, the Ukrainian Fiasco will be studied for a century or more. This will be Putin's legacy.

69. Did Putin start the war with Ukraine as a special operation? After all, all that is reported about in Russia is the special operation with all its consequences, while the war seems to be irrelevant.

Every master manipulator, when designing their propaganda campaign, chooses words that distort reality in one way or another. Here are some examples:

- Hammer = manual impact device
- Janitor = sanitation engineer
- Lies = point of view
- Conflict = difference of opinion
- Tyrant = autocrat
- War = Special Operation of Liberation

If you say the word "war" in Russia, you will be sentenced to prison without a trial.

You know when a dark, evil authoritarian is in action when they try to manipulate your mind and make you believe something that takes you far away from reality.

Recall when Trump tried to fudge major Russian cyber attacks by attributing it to some 400 lbs hacker in Hoboken. That's another example of using words and images to distort the truth.

70. What are the motivations and incentives for Syrian volunteers to fight for Putin?

The Syrians are Mercenaries. Killers for Hire. Money, big money, motivates them.

They probably don't know the ruble has lost 50% of its value. It's only worth 1/2 cent.

Wait until the day they get paid and find they too have been defrauded by the master manipulator.

71. Has Putin told so many lies that he is now unable to distinguish facts from his fiction?

Liars are quickly identified because the same story is always retold with some new embellishment, or something has changed, or the succession of telling the story has some logical continuation that makes not sense.

Putin tells lies, half-truths, distortions, reconfigurations, concoctions, and unsubstantiated allegations so often that nothing he says is believable unless verified. He is obviously either delusional or thinks we aren't smart enough to figure out he's a master deceiver. Every once in a while he'll throw in a real truth just to make us think he speaks with a straight tongue.

Of course, this entangled web of lies no one can ever remember.

'Oh what a tangled web we weave, When first we practice to deceive' Sir Walter Scott

Biden has been consistent and straight forward with his messages, and so have his staff.

72. One thing you can say about Putin. He keeps his cards close to his chest. Is Biden stupid? Why does he telegraph every thought and move to Putin and Russia before he makes them? Example- Stating that U.S. WILL NOT intervene in Ukraine?

What you are seeing unfold before your eyes is an elegant display of wisdom over conceit, democracy over totalitarianism, openness over deceit.

Biden and his team have exposed Putin's gambit before he could play his hand. A gambit exposed loses its power.

Putin, the master manipulator has consistently been outmaneuvered by an agile team of alliance members.

As a professional in the field of Strategic Alliances, I give Biden an A+ for his adroitness in aligning and unifying NATO and the EU that had zero trust in the US when Biden took office.

Walking the tightrope between being too strong and igniting a Nuclear War, and showing sufficient strength to confront a bully is very difficult. JFK did it in the Cuban Missile Crisis in 1962 when he stared down Khrushchev. Biden has united over a hundred nations in opposing Putin. Let's acknowledge this achievement which was considered impossible a mere year ago.

73. Is Putin's call for soldiers from the Syrian regime a sign of desperation?

Mercenaries are hired killers — hit men. The Mafia uses them. They are cold-blooded subhumans who fight not for a cause (like the Ukrainians) but for the thrill of killing. To a mercenary, killing is better than sex — orgasmic and elating.

Bringing in Syrian hit squads enables Putin to spare Russians returning home in body bags, fomenting internal strife. Syrians culturally have no affinity to Ukrainians — they look alien to a Syrian, making killing easier.

As the fighting turns into urban guerilla warfare, why not use Syrians as cannon fodder, instead of asking Russian troops with poor morale to risk their lives?

74. What are the chances that an accidental incident/occurrence could spark Russian President Putin to start a global war or WW3 with the use of nuclear weapons?

The threat of nuclear warfare has been with me all my life. I remember in 1953, when I was in the first grade, doing air raid drills, ducking under our desks and marching rank and file into the school basement where there were nuclear attack symbols in yellow and black.

In the 1960s we had movies like *On the Beach, Dr. Strangelove, Fail Safe,* and *Alas Babylon* scared me to the core.

Just yesterday we heard that India accidently launched a missile into Pakistan. Fortunately no one was killed and there was no retaliation. But these countries were not at war.

We all need to be worried that someone will make a mistake.

The more the fighting continues, the greater the chance of a screw-up.

In 1964, two American Destroyers (*USS Turner Joy & USS Maddox*) patrolling in the Gulf of Tonkin in the South China Sea accidently fired on each other. Each thought it was the Vietnamese were doing the shooting. It took a while to sort out what really happened.

Lyndon Johnson jumped the gun, and went to Congress to get a resolution to start the Vietnam War.

This is what everyone is worried about. Tense situations cause split-second decisions that can be devastating.

75. When do you think Putin started planning to invade Ukraine if in 2021 he seemed more intent on advertising the Covid-19 Sputnik vaccine with a geopolitical function?

Putin is a master Machiavellian manipulator. This means he will consistently say one thing while purposefully planning something else. For example, then he said the pre-attack training exercises were ending and the Russian Army would be going home, he was readying his forces for an assault.

So things like the vaccine were just a ploy to deceive and distract.

Planning for the attack started when his stooge Poroshenko was replaced by Zelinsky, who, by promoting democracy, threatened Putin's tyrannical control,

76. Can the administration of Putin be worse than that of Stalin?

You might be surprised, but for many Russians, Stalin is regarded as a hero and savior of the Soviet Union He mobilized forces to drive Hitler out of Russia; he expanded the Soviet Union into Eastern Europe; he made the USSR into a global superpower, and grew the economy which was devastated during WWII.

Many of his atrocities are devalued by Russians in the light his other achievements. Of course, we in the west have a very different evaluation of Stalin. We see Stalin and Putin in the same light.

In the end, Russians will not see Putin as the great hero he would like. He will be seen as the leader who set back Russia into a dark time.

77. Instead of the dismantling of the USSR being a bad thing, as Putin contends, was it a very good thing that Putin was too dumb to realize?

The USSR was ill-conceived as soon as Stalin took all of Eastern Europe and tried to enforce his control over people who felt oppressed.

Putin was given a gift of a country that was agreeable to being part of the Russian Federation.

It Putin had played his cards well (which he didn't), he would have transformed the former Soviet Union to become strong politically, socially, and economically. He should have gotten

rid of corruption that was eating out the heart and soul of the economy, and undermining the country's governance.

Had he done these things, he would have been revered. Instead his will be reviled.

78. How true is it that When Putin launched his invasion of Ukraine, he not only resolidified the old Western alliances formed in the wake of World War II, he also shook up the Republican Party's power dynamics, at least temporarily? Why?

For the European countries the memories of Hitler are vivid and horrifying. Many parents and grandparents of European citizens went through WWII and the horrible aftermath of famine in 1946 and refugees finding their way back to home villages blown to bits.

To them, Putin is the reincarnation of Hitler. Putin's attack on Ukraine feels like Hitler's 1939 Blitzkrieg through Poland

Trump's cozying up to Putin is looking scary to many Republicans who recognize Trump is a baby Putin. Now the old Ron Reagan forces are coming out of the woodwork as Zelinsky's leadership is inspiring them.

Putin, who triggered the Law of Unintended Consequences, failed to understand how he was a polarizing figure. He also underestimated Biden's acumen on Foreign Affairs and his ability to build coalitions. Biden also did everything behind the scenes to facilitate the moderate Democrats and their Republican counterparts to come together and push for escalation of armaments. In this way Biden could synchronize American war support with the NATO nations as they solidified their united front.

As long as Putin keeps blundering, the united feelings will continue. First, it will be difficult for Putin to surround the City. We have pumped a large amount of defensive weaponry to the Freedom Fighters. Most of the Russian forces are stalemated.

Second, the Freedom Fighters have interdicted the Russian logistical supply lines. To complete the encirclement, the Russians would need gas, food, and ammunition. They have little of the necessary supplies to win.

Third, even if they encircled the city, they would either have to bombard the city before sending in troops, or lay siege, starving the citizenry. This exposes their outer flanks — their rear would be attacked and decimated. If they shelled the city, we would attack the artillery with a variety of missiles.

🖳 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Fourth, the forces of the Free World are marshalling our forces to continue to escalate involvement, which will not stop until the Russians stop.

As things are going right now, the Russian strategy and tactics are difficult to execute successfully

79. What happens if Putin surrounds the capital and destroys critical infrastructure, block aid coming in, and just shells the city into submission? Is that even a possibility?

First, it will be difficult for Putin to surround the City. We have pumped a large amount of defensive weaponry to the Freedom Fighters. Most of the Russian forces are stalemated.

Second, the Freedom Fighters have interdicted the Russian logistical supply lines. To complete the encirclement, the Russians would need gas, food, and ammunition. They have little of the necessary supplies to win.

Third, even if they encircled the city, they would either have to bombard the city before sending in troops, or lay siege, starving the citizenry. This exposes their outer flanks — their rear would be attacked and decimated. If they shelled the city, we would attack the artillery with a variety of missiles.

Fourth, the forces of the Free World are marshalling our forces to continue to escalate involvement, which will not stop until the Russians stop.

As things are going right now, the Russian strategy and tactics are difficult to execute successfully

80. Why don't they bomb Putin's palace?

This would be an act of war. In the US it would require the Congress to enable the President/Commander in Chief to exercise his powers under the War Powers Act.

An unprovoked attack on Russia would trigger a nuclear holocaust.

81. Do you think Putin is ready for World War III if the need arises?

No one is ready for WWIII because it means nuclear holocaust, which would be over in a matter of minutes.

Russia wasn't even able to be ready for the Ukrainian invasion. WWIII has no successful endgame.

82. Why did Russian President Vladimir Putin, quoted by the Tass agency, accuse Kiev of "sabotaging the peaceful solution of the crisis" and saying that "Russia's goal is not to occupy Ukraine"?

Putin's strategy is not to solve problems, but to undermine the opposition. Thus the truth is not important, winning is important.

As a professional deceiver, Putin will mix a half-truth with a half-lie, or tell people what they want to hear, or mislead to gain advantage

So don't believe what he says, believe what he does. But be careful, because, to keep us unbalanced, sometimes he will tell the truth.

Part Three: PUTIN and LEADERSHIP

83. Why is Volodymyr Zelensky a one-of-a-kind leader, and how is he winning the "media" battle?

Zelensky is actually not a "one-of-a-kind" leader, but he certainly seems that way because he is exhibiting skills and mindsets that have become rare. At our Leadership Institute, "Collaborative Leadership," is best described as:

A Great Leader excels at aiming at and seeking to:

- · Unite, Not Smite
- · Guide, Not Divide
- · Inspire, Not Open Fire
- · Elevate, Not Denigrate
- · Embrace, Not Disgrace
- · Enlighten, Not Frighten
- · Enthuse, Not Confuse
- · Engage, Not Enrage
- · Align, Not Malign
- · Integrate, Not Segregate
- · Lift, Not Rift
- · Trust, Not Disgust
- · Learn, Not Spurn
- · Innovate, Not Desecrate
- · Empower, Not Overpower
- · Create, Not Hate
- · Explore, Not Deplore

- · Resolve, Not Devolve
- · Demonstrate, Not Castigate
- · Understand, Not Reprimand
- · Reclaim, Not Blame
- · Place Mutual Interest above Self Interest
- · Use Differences as Engines of Innovation, Not Destruction

This is primarily why he is winning the media war.

Zelensky's leadership is diametrically opposite from Putin, who is a member of an "evil elite" called the Dark Triad, which means he is a poison cocktail with high-octane Narcissistic, Machiavellian & Psychopathic drives.

Hitler was just one of these people.

Many years ago, I studied Hitler's Propaganda Machine under the guidance of a high-ranking retired CIA officer. Looking at Putin's Propaganda Machine, it is clearly based on the principles established by Josef Goebbels. The major difference is that today it's on steroids with the aid of the computers and advanced psycho-manipulation techniques.

The soft underbelly of Putin's propaganda is that it is so filled with lies that can be countered by back-door media. While the Russian Propaganda may work in the short run, as the people learn the real truth, it will implode.

84. Putin is the psychopathic cause is in war with Ukraine. Putin is a m\$&\$fuc\$&@r, but he is not alone! What about the leaders who destroyed Libya, Syria, Vietnam, Iraq, Afghanistan, Yemen, Korea, dropped not one, but two nuclear bombs against Japan, financed a proxy war against Iran? Are they not psychopathic?

Point well taken. Going down an adversarial path triggers adverse reactions as the Law of Unintended Consequences sends things spiraling out of control, and brings out the worst in human behavior.

Having examined hundreds of cases (like the ones you cited) over the course of history, I've concluded there are a variety of bad leaders:

Evil Psychopathic Leaders (Putin, Saddam Hussein, etc) who can't help doing really bad things

International Collaborative Leadership Institute

- **Misguided Leaders** who do bad things but thought is wouldn't have bad results. (the ends justifies the means)
- **Undisciplined** Leaders who allowed their passions to take over when they should be restrained. (Lt. Calley's slaughter of innocent civilians at My Lai in Vietnam, for example.)
- **Stupid** Leaders who simply were playing outside their league (Trump had no understanding of the Power Game Putin was playing, which led Trump to try to disassemble NATO)
- **Cornered** Leaders who had little option but to engage in horrible actions (Harry Truman's atom bomb was the result of bad leadership in Japan that would not give up without taking down their entire country)

Together, this adds up to a boiling cauldron of warfare that has plagued civilization for several millennia.

We, as a civilization, must be committed to developing leaders who are enlightened, wise, and honorable. And the citizens of all nations must come to accept their responsibility to weed out those of bad character before they rise into positions of power.

85. Has your opinion of Vladimir Putin changed as a result of the Russia-Ukraine war of 2022?

Definitely YES.

Prior to the Ukrainian Fiasco, I thought Putin was far more intelligent and cunning. I believed Putin was a dark soul, a highly competent Master Machiavellian who was a cold-hearted strategist and clear-headed tactician. I thought he understood how to take calculated risks where he had extremely high chances of winning.

Now that assessment is quite different. Putin has shown that he is also a Narcissist and Psychopath. His propensity to try to outmaneuver is opponent has degraded to an underestimation of his opponents. He has lost his ability to engage in risks he has a high likelihood of winning. He has gone over the edge of being a great leader into the dregs of being one of the greatest bumblers and vandals of all time.

Since the invasion of the Ukraine, Putin has shown himself as an **overblown megalomaniac**, a **reptilian barbarian**, a **psychopathic murderer**, a **paranoid monster**, an **obsessive-compulsive blunderer**, a **delusional demagogue**, and an **incompetent strategist**.

His leadership skills are horrid; the only reason he is in power is by force.

And the magnitude of the Ukrainian Fiasco will carve his legacy onto the gravestone of history as having committed one of the worst wars in the annals of modern military history. The idea of a Pyrrhic Victory might be renamed a "Putinnic" Victory.

Shame on Putin. I saw the signals of this possibility years ago, but never imagined this cold-hearted man would go into a cold-blooded reptilian tail-spin.

86. Why did Putin, after almost always trying to be pragmatic in political matters, attack Ukraine knowing that the Western world would have a lot to say about it?

When you hear the proverb: "Absolute Power Corrupts Absolutely" it refers to a bundle of negative consequences.

One of those consequences is the Tyrant starts to believe, over the course of time, they are omnipotent, self-absorbed in their own ego-maniacal delusions.

They build a safe team around them: sycophants at best, and henchmen at worst.

This is what happened to Putin.

Thus, Putin failed to assess the situation in the Ukraine, which was significantly different from other places Putin had subdued, such as Chechnya or Syria:

- The Ukraine is in Europe, not Asia, thus Europeans relate to Ukrainians
- The People of the Ukraine, 'tho many speak Russian, are not aligned with Putin's desires. He thought Ukrainians would welcome him.
- Putin misjudged the NATO response and the world response.
- He misjudged the fact that so many Ukrainians speak English, and could communicate their message to the world
- He miscalculated the enormous size of the Ukraine.
- He did not factor the Leadership Qualities of Zelensky or Biden

87. What does Putin do with deserters?

According to the Security Service of Ukraine, who questioned deserting and captured soldiers, they have said that "army leadership has resumed the practice of 'execution squads' - echelons that follow the main forces of the Russian Federation and kill those who want to escape,", just like Soviet Union's commissar squads.

As the Russian Federation soldiers moved deeper into Ukraine, they began to realize that they were far from being on a peacekeeping mission as they were first told.

"But they made very clear that we can't desert or try to run, because echelons are coming after us, killing deserters who are trying to return to Russia," the soldier said.

"Now, having become accomplices in crimes, the soldiers regret that they did not have the courage to act like the marines of the Russian Federation... near Odesa, 600 marines rebelled and refused to leave their ship, because they understood what was happening."

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

"The army of the Russian Federation is demoralized and suppressed. So the victory of Ukraine is not far off!" the SBU said.

Captured Russian soldiers are stating the Russian Army has created a special squad set up for killing deserters who don't want to take part in the invasion.

"Soldiers are threatened with a specialised 'echelon', and that they are kept in the dark until they see the devastation for themselves - at which point the 'only thing we could really do was surrender'."

88. What can happen if the Russian Army disobeys Putin's orders?

Putin has already initiated Hit Squads to trail after the Russian convoys with orders to shoot deserters.

However, if WWII is an example, there were German battalions at the end of the war when it was clear Germany could not win, that surrendered to the Allies rather than die, or be captured by Russians.

Already units of the Russian Army have surrendered peacefully to Ukrainian forces rather than engage in Fratricide.

89. Given that Putin is fighting for the *wrong* cause, will the world ever forgive Russia?

Look back at Post WWII Germany or the American Civil War for the answer:

First, we were quick to forgive the German People, even though they claimed to be oblivious to the extermination of the Jews.

The difficultly is always with the Leadership. Forgiveness is highly linked to TRUST. If there is no dramatic regime change with a statement that such action in the Ukraine was flat-out wrong, then it will take years for the wounds to heal. The trust in Russian Leadership — political, business, and diplomatic — has imploded.

Second, the problem after a war is how well the conquerors treat the vanquished.

In the case of the American Civil War, the Carpet Baggers descended on the South to make their lives miserable. The animosities did not heal — it took more than a century for the South to forgive the North.

In the case of Japan after WWII, General McArthur did a magnificent job as "military governor." Soon Japan was rebuilding, gaining their self-confidence, focusing their energy

on the future, not the past. Look at the results three generations later. Kudos to McArthur on this one.

90. How does Vladimir Putin's false flag comments about Ukraine compare to Donald Trump's false flag comments about the stolen election among other things?

Both Trump and Putin suffer from the same multiple disorders in their mental condition. Both are part of the "Evil Elite:" Machiavellian schemers and manipulators, who have Narcissistic delusions of grandeur, combined with a Psychopath's absence of conscience.

Each creates a deceitful portrait of reality — the false flag. There is no real difference in the false flags, just a difference in context.

91. Imagine you are in front of Putin. What will you say to him?

Context: I would be speaking to a Machiavellian schemer and manipulator, who has Narcissistic delusions of grandeur, combined with a Psychopath's absence of conscience.

First, he'd try to intimidate me, seeing me as an opponent. He'd probably have done his intel on me and found what I think and believe. He'd be prepared to undermine everything I'd say.

Second, I'd challenge him in the way he can hear: "Vladimir (I would not call him Mr. Putin, or Mr. President), as I understand your objectives, you want to be a winner, not a loser."

I'd wait for his response, either verbal or non-verbal. If he was stone-faced and indicated no response, I'd query him again, adding that, "I understand your objective, However I'm not interested in whether he will like me or not, nor to instill fear in you, because you are fearless. My only purpose is to tell you the truth, and help lessen the risks you are running, because right now you are doomed, and no one among your senior advisors is being 100% square with you. Are you still interested in talking? If not I'll not waste either my time nor yours."

If he was still interested, then I'd talk to him through a Truth to Power language. Context: I would be speaking to a Machiavellian schemer and manipulator, who has Narcissistic delusions of grandeur, combined with a Psychopath's absence of conscience.

92. What makes Vladimir Putin a great leader?

If you believe Hitler or Stalin or Napoleon were great leaders, then Putin would be considered great.

However, I would recognize these as some of the worst leaders. Here's why:

📠 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

A Great Leader excels at aiming at and seeking to:

- Unite, Not Smite
- Guide, Not Divide
- Inspire, Not Open Fire
- Elevate, Not Denigrate
- Embrace, Not Disgrace
- Enlighten, Not Frighten
- Enthuse, Not Confuse
- Engage, Not Enrage
- Align, Not Malign
- Integrate, Not Segregate
- Lift, Not Rift
- Trust, Not Disgust
- Learn, Not Spurn
- Innovate, Not Desecrate
- Empower, Not Overpower
- Create, Not Hate
- Explore, Not Deplore
- Resolve, Not Devolve
- Demonstrate, Not Castigate
- Understand, Not Reprimand
- Reclaim, Not Blame
- Place Mutual Interest above Self Interest
- Use Differences as Engines of Innovation, Not Destruction

Putin fails at every trait that has been the historic standard for leaders of nations and organizations.

In contrast, if you notice, Zelensky does a pretty good job at attaining these points.

Ask yourself "Who would you rather have as leader of YOUR country?"

93. How useful was Putin's job as a former intelligence officer during his war with Ukraine?

His intelligence background has been a double edged sword.

One edge gives him an acumen for details on specific vulnerabilities in his opponent. He understands psychological warfare.

The other edge forces him into a myopia where he cannot see other major factors that have made him over confident that he is smarter than everyone else, but misjudged the qualities of human spirit exhibited by Ukrainian Freedom Fighters, the latent memories of Hitler he

evoked in the Europeans, and Biden's ability to recreate the Unity of NATO, among a large number of other factors.

94. Is Russia a fascist state now? Russia looks like a Neo-fascist state: dictatorship, the ideology of Russian nation supremacy, the reign of secret services, the cult of the leader, no free speech, extreme militarisation, wars to keep the power.

You've hit the key issues accurately.

The comparisons to Hitler's Fascism are almost lock-step.

Putin obviously studied Hitler's playbook on many fronts, including military strategy (which he erroneously thought he could improve upon) to Goebbels' propaganda strategy (now on steroid) with the addition of cyberwarfare and an atomic threat.

95. Will Russia ever be a true democracy or is it too large and complex for that?

Democracies are highly dependent on Collaborative Leadership. This is because collaborative excellence is essential for managing complex systems.

Because of major changes in our educational systems, we have stopped teaching characterbuilding in our schools. As character has declined, so has trust in our institutions.

When people don't trust their leaders, they become polarized and adversarial. That what was happening to Western democracies.

When leaders stop leading by character, and move toward focusing on creating power-bases, democracies are on the rocks. That's why the electorate must learn to vote for leaders of strong character, versus their personality or politics.

For Russia to become a democracy, it must become a more trustworthy country where people can trust their leaders to do what is good for the country, not themselves.

96. How would Donald Trump deal with Putin's war against Ukraine?

Simple, he'd hear no evil, see no evil, speak no evil.

Trump would play Pontius Pilate, washing his hands of the sordid affair, stating the United States has no interest in the Ukraine and the US should simply be concerned with its own internal affairs.

Essentially Trump has no conscience, is a bully who lacks courage, and a tramp beholden to Putin.

97. Has Donald Trump gone too far by claiming Vladimir Putin is "very savvy" and saying his decision to send his army into separatist-held parts of Ukraine is "genius"? Are his pro-Putin comments on the verge of treason or anti-American at the least?

Trump has the same multiple disorders as Putin: Ego-maniacal Narcissism, Machiavellian Manipulation, and Socio-Pathic lack of conscience.

Trump got along well with Putin and Kim Jun Un because they all shared the same mental maladies.

When Trump called Putin a genius, it was because he was admiring the same qualities in Putin that he thought he possesses himself.

IMHO, Trump is seditious and treasonous. The lack of good judgment by his Republican supporters is a travesty. The good Republicans like Liz Cheney and Adam Kinzinger are to be applauded for the courageous stand for calling Trump out for the slime-ball he is. The United States citizenry must become aware of the Trump fraud and repudiate him.

Perhaps we should deport him to Putin's lair.

98. Will the losses in Ukraine make Putin lash out and if so how will he?

He is lashing out now because he is losing the war. Because Putin's mental disorders interfere with both his judgment and his ability to take advice from those who are more informed, he keeps making more mistakes.

Putin is trapped inside a paradigm that says if you are losing, just try to psych-out your opponent and escalate the application of power.

This is why he has canned 8 generals in the last two weeks and placed his two top FSB (former KGB) advisors under house arrest.

He has lashed out at the Ukrainians by committing war crimes.

Putin does not realize he lost the war 10 days ago, and is in hallucinatory denial.

99. Why is Putin hellbent on defiling the reputation of Russia, and making Russia look like a terrorist organization to the whole world?

Putin suffers from a Multiple set of Personality Disorders:

- 1) Machiavellian master manipulators interested only in their aggrandizement.
- · We have known for years that Putin was a Master Machiavellian cold, calculating, willing to exert power for any reason that would give him an advantage. He is a master of deceit, using cunning and guile to conceal his real motives. You could never trust his words, using language such as "peace-keeping" to disguise his evil motives.
- 2) Narcissistic self-centered egomaniacs who act only in their self interest
- · His recent declaration of a rationale for overtaking Ukraine revealed two more dimensions of bestial darkness. When he said he wanted to take back all the land of the former Soviet Empire, he showed his formerly hidden Narcissistic side, megalomaniacal, ego-centric, self-aggrandizing legacy for himself.
- 3) Psychopathic cold-blooded beasts without conscience who only recognize Power.
- · When he risked the well-being of all Russian and Ukrainian citizens, both soldiers and civilians, he revealed his Psychopathic side willing to engage in cold-blooded mass killings to achieve his evil intentions. Putin has no conscience, no soul, no moral center. The only "morality" he embraces is: Might Makes Right.

When a leader has all three of these qualities, they are super-dangerous Predators — Evil incarnate. You are looking at the DEVIL before your eyes.

Putin is a terrorist who cannot do anything else but fulfill his murderous dictatorial drives.

Part Four: PUTIN'S POLITICAL VULNERABILITY

100. Can Putin still save Russia's economy after the sanctions?

No, Putin has killed the Russian economy as his people have known it for the last two decades.

That said, the Russians will not starve — the land is filled with farms and many other resources.

Russia has a back-door to keep its economy marginally functional. We can count on the Chinese to make lots of money buying things globally and funneling them into Russia.

Russia will not be well positioned to pay China, so Chinese Banks will loan the money to Russia. That's a whole other issue that has lots of complexity attached. China will get a lot of bargain basement prices when it turns loans into acquisitions. China may own a lot more of Russia than Putin bargained for.

101. Can the protests in Russia turn its citizens against Putin?

The answer to this question has significantly changed in the last two weeks;

There are 4 basic ways regimes are overturned:

- Assassination Putin is too well protected for this
- Internal Powerbases (Oligarchs & Parliament) force the ruler out this is the most likely possibility, but not yet.
- Coupe d'état by the military Putin's internal security force would have to be complicit for this to happen
- Revolution by the people this is very difficult because Putin's propaganda machine has driven out all delivery of the truth and all dissent suppressed, meaning Revolution is unlikely.

102. Do you think the economic impact of Western countries' sanctions on Russia will cause Vladimir Putin to lose a substantial amount of political support at home?

People will always choose pleasure over pain, stability over turmoil, prosperity over poverty, growth over decline, elation over degradation, and victory over defeat.

Putin does not understand human behavior, because his psychopathic mentality has no sensitivity to human emotions. Putin only understands POWER.

He is now so out of touch with his people, that something is going to burst.

The economic sanctions, will, sooner or later, be so disruptive that the people will revolt. Whether that revolt is successful or not, only time will tell.

Every politician eventually learns that when moral indignation and economic forces are aligned, the energy is massive.

103. Is it likely that Putin's banning of Facebook and Twitter is likely to have the opposite effect of actually creating more unrest, and why or why not?

Think like Putin to understand this answer.

Probably less than 5% of the Russian population is on Social Media. Not enough to make a difference.

In the urban centers, perhaps this is 15–20%, again not enough to start a revolution.

Putin will tell the Russian people that Facebook & Twitter are just voices of evil and he had to shut them down for promulgating "Fake News."

Putin controls the state propaganda machine — all other opposing cable news outlets are shut down. People can only hear what he wants them to hear.

He is ready to impose martial which will squash any dissent.

Putin knows you must control the mind, the spirit, and the wallets to keep control of a country. He will control the mind, quell the spirit, but the economic depression descending on the Russian people he cannot control.

104. What is the general consensus or perception of Russian President Putin by the citizens of Russia? Is it true that he has a cult-like following by quite a few?

The majority of Russian people support the war because they don't see the other side of the story.

The Russian Propaganda Machine is modelled after the Hitler machine, suppressing all information not favorable to their interests.

Only the Millennials and a few other groups in the urban centers really got a chance to protest the Ukrainian conflict. Thousands were thrown in jail. The free press has been shut down. Western reporters have been ordered out of the country.

There are always cults of Authoritarian Followers. Just as here in America where 15% are cult followers of Trump, so too in Russia. But the average Russian cannot learn how to see Putin in any other light than his own self-aggrandizing glory.

105. What do Russian citizens think about what is going on in Ukraine? Do they have any idea of the magnitude of destruction going on or about, as well as the number of human lives that have been lost as a result of Putin's initiating assault on Ukraine?

The majority of Russian people support the war because they don't see the other side of the story.

The Russian Propaganda Machine is modelled after the Hitler machine, suppressing all information not favorable to their interests.

Only the Millennials and a few other groups in the urban centers really got a chance to protest the Ukrainian conflict. Thousands were thrown in jail. The free press has been shut down. Western reporters have been ordered out of the country.

There are always cults of Authoritarian Followers. Just as here in America where 15% are cult followers of Trump, so too in Russia. But the average Russian cannot learn how to see Putin in any other light than his own self-aggrandizing glory — yet,

However, this can come to a crashing halt when people learn the truth, realize they have been betrayed, and a critical mass (10–15%) get really angry as they make the connection between Putin's fake news and the economic meltdown that has already begun in Russia.

This shift in attitudes could happen in the next 30–45 days as the "betrayal backlash" begins to rage.

106. With anti-war protesters and journalists being detained in Russia, is Putin losing his popularity among his people?

Machiavelli, in his classic book The Prince, advised: it is better to be Feared than Loved.

Better advice would be It is better to be Trusted than Loved or Feared.

Putin is not loved, he is feared and some trust him.

In Russia, most of the people are in the dark, fed lies in the press. Few know the protesters and journalists are in jail or have fled.

The trust will collapse when people learn the truth. When that happens, there will be an implosion as people realize they have been betrayed. Betrayal evokes high degrees of animosity and vindictiveness.

That's the beginning of the end of Putin

107. Is getting along with Vladimir Putin right now a good thing or a bad thing?

If you think Putin is a "good guy" then be loyal to him and you will be rewarded.

If you think he' a bad guy, you have two choices: resist from the outside or undermine from the inside. In the latter case, be careful with the plan, the execution, and don't get caught.

If you look at this from a time perspective, you are better getting along with him in the short run, but in the long run he is a very poor bet.

Xi Jinping in China is playing the timing game, playing both ends against the middle. Xi, to Putin, looks like his only ally. Xi has a different plan: Sidle up to Putin to gain his confidence, then Xi can act as the mediator/power-broker of the deal to bring peace back in Ukraine. Xi will be hailed as a "good man" for exerting his influence, but will end up "owning Russia" without pissing off the world or firing a shot.

108. What is the most likely outcome for Vladimir Zelensky, if Putin takes over Ukraine and captures the Ukrainian President?

Death would make him a Martyr. Putin's Narcissism would rule this out. More likely, Zelensky will be convicted of War Crimes in a Russian Court, with a life sentence in a Siberian prison, where he will die, but we won't learn of his demise for decades.

109. Does Putin realize that assassinating Zelensky will make Zelensky a martyr that the world will never forget, and Putin (and the military associated with this assassination) will be remembered in infamy forever?

It is highly likely that Putin recognizes this.

Instead of assassination, which would make Zelinsky a martyr, Putin will try to capture him alive, bring him back to Russia for trial before a kangaroo court, and sentence him to oblivion in a concentration camp in Siberia, where he will die a quiet death, unknown to the rest of the world.

This strategy has been used for centuries, as bleak and inhumane as it might be.

110. Vladimir Putin should be stripped of his powers (allowed to live) but his family should be killed; for all those innocent people and children that he slaughtered?

Yes, Putin should be stripped of his powers to save humanity from his genocide.

No, we must not cast the sins of the father upon the children. The children of Stalin and Khrushchev did not turn out to be bad people.

Killing innocent people only compounds the horror. The French and Russians did this when they deposed the Kings and Czars during their Revolutions, and the world recorded this event as a dismal act of inhumanity.

Revenge is not man's role, Justice is. That's why we held the Nuremburg Trials after WWII.

111. What are Putin's laid out demands to end the war in Ukraine?

First, understand that as a negotiator, Putin always starts with a BIG, OUTRAGEOUS set of demands. If he demands a lot, he will likely get a bigger piece of the pie than if he starts with something smaller and more reasonable.

Second, what he demands is clearly unacceptable to Ukraine and the West. These change each day. Some demands include giving the Ukraine to Russia,; an agreement that Ukraine and Moldova will not join NATO, or a pledge to give the Donbas and Crimea to Russia.

Don't worry about his demands, even if they seem contradictory or inconsistent. That's the way Putin plays the negotiations game, so his opponent can never stand on solid ground. Remember, Putin doesn't play fair.

That's why a big player, like China, has to broker the deal, laying down a compromise that will make neither side happy, but it will end the war.

If China intervenes as Power-Broker to exert its influence over Russia, and works out a deal behind the scenes (it won't be done in public), then there is a good chance of halting the war with a "brokered peace."

Neither Ukraine nor Russia will be happy with the deal, but it will be a compromise where both sides realize peace is better than war.

No one, other than Xi Jinping in China, could exert such influence. Remember, Putin only responds to power, not common sense.

112. What period had greater freedom of speech/press for Russia, 2022 under Putin or the last years of the Soviet Union under Gorbachev?

Gorbachev introduced the ideals of Glasnost and Perestroika.

Glasnost meant "Openness" to new ideas and more transparency in decision-making.

"Perestroika" meant working together to reform the political and economic policies that had caused stagnation.

While difficult to implement in a corrupt country, these were revolutionary concepts in the late 1980s.

Putin's oppression is contradictory to these ideals.
All this could have been predicted. See *Beware the Authoritarian Dark Triad*

http://www.iclinstitute.com/Beware_the_Beast_-- Authoritarian_Dark_Triad_V1.11.pdf

113. Will Putin's minions eventually turn against him?

The more isolated Putin becomes, the more he loses the support of his power base that keeps him office. That base includes:

- His own United Russian Party and the Communist Party
- Oligarchs
- Russian People
- Allies like China

Putin is vulnerable if he loses in Ukraine, as the economic sanctions become an avalanche in the economy. Should he lose the war, his days are numbered.

Russians have had a generation of experiencing the taste of Western Opportunity. Taking that away is not going to endear Russian Millennials to Putin. This is how Revolutions happen. The American Revolution is a good example — When King George took away our liberties starting in the 1760s, we rose up to overthrow the Tyrant.

114. Why do 60% of Russians support Putin's war? I've seen a lot of them on social media. Why is China and Belaruse supporting him, too? I live in USA and wondering if our mainstream news biased and using propaganda. So what am I missing?

The majority of Russian people support the war because they don't see the other side of the story. The Russian Propaganda Machine is modelled after the Hitler machine, suppressing all information not favorable to their interests.

Belarus is supporting Putin because Putin installed a puppet government to ensure support.

Don't assume China is supporting Putin. China has its own strategy, and will play both ends against each other to support its own interests..

In the USA, each media channel has its own perspective, but none are controlled by the government. That's called Freedom of the Press, which is guaranteed by the 1st Amendment to the Constitution.

What you've missed is explained in detail in my piece: America BEFUDDLED

https://iclinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Strategic-Alert-7-America-BEFUDDLED-ABRIDGED.pdf

Don't feel bad, this is the strategy Putin has been using on Americans for years.

115. Are any of the known Russian oligarchs not corrupt?

First, what is an oligarch in Russia?

• These individuals were given sweet-heart deals under the regime of Boris Yeltsin where state owned industries were privatized, and given to "businessmen" who took over banks, oil & gas, airlines, mines, etc.

Second, what was the "deal" that got them these lucrative assets?

 The evidence points to them paying off members of the parties and paying "royalties" to keep them from being unregulated. This created a corrupt system of business with black market payments that concentrated 35% of the wealth of Russia in 110 individuals.

Regarding Putin, it is speculated that he is the richest man in the world, raking off a "protection" fee from the oligarchs.

In other words, he's the Mafia boss. And all the oligarchs are, by their nature, corrupt "kleptocrats" who don't enable the Russian people to share in the wealth they help create.

The Russian people hate this abuse of power. Maybe the Ukrainian Fiasco will crack the whole mess wide open.

116. Only God can decide who has to die or to live. Why do you all want Putin to get killed?

Put yourself back 80 years into Hitler's Germany.

Do you think God wanted:

- the Extermination of 6 million Jews?
- the Extermination of 3 million Catholics and other races?
- the Annihilation of 27 million Russians?
- the Death of 200,000 Americans?
- the Horrific Destruction of beautiful cities in Europe?
- the Displacement of millions of refugees who lost their homes?

Obviously not. God did not decide who could live or die. Hitler was evil and needed to be removed from power by whatever force could be invoked.

Was Hitler's life more valuable than that of Anne Frank?

The moral question of Hitler is directly applicable to Putin.

Personally, I don't care if Putin lives or dies. He is as evil and dark as Hitler.

Putin needs to be removed from power now. Any of the deaths of the Ukrainians (or Chechnya's children or Aleppo's mothers) are caused by Putin.

Anyone with a conscience can see Putin has none.

China doesn't need a war to own Russia's gas and oil fields. China is likely to either buy-out the vacated stock by Western Oil Companies exiting their Joint Ventures, or will provide financing for the Russian Oil companies, or will simply buy the Russian companies at bargain prices based on the deflated ruble.

My hunch is Russia is artificially trying to boost the ruble by selling off gold reserves to China.

117. Is the failure for European Countries to agree on Oil Sanctions the first big crack in Western unity against Putin?

The Western Nations are trying to modulate the impact of oil and gas prices on their economies and on public opinion.

In Europe, countries like Netherlands and Germany are high importers of Russian Oil. They must wean themselves away, which they are dedicated to do. But this takes time.

In the meantime, the US is ramping up production (we are one of the largest producers in the world, and so is Canada.

If Biden is alert, he would approve the Keystone Pipeline to open up Canada's oil fields to the refineries in Texas. This would expand the supply of oil on the world markets, keeping prices moderated, and ensure our European partners were adequately supplied so their economies stayed stable.

118. How likely is it that Putin will be dethroned? If that happens, what are the chances that he'll be substituted by a better president?

Putin has already lost enormous power on the world stage. Globally he is a pariah.

He's handed over enormous power to China without realizing it. China has not backed the sanctions, nor did it condemn Russian in the UN last week. The presumed alliance between China and Russia in early February is caput in reality, but China will play both ends against the middle on this one.

Putin's support internally, from his power base, is being eroded, and will eventually force him to change policies.

When this happens, my hunch is he will be gently escorted out of power. Who will take his place? I venture not to guess. Will they be an improvement over Putin? Most likely. But don't expect some enlightened leader who will guide Russia to the Promised Land.

119. Why did Putin attack Europe's largest nuclear power plant?

Probably for two reasons:

First, by holding the reactor, they can turn off the electricity to a large part of central Ukraine, thus throwing the nation into a crisis. People with no electricity, in theory, will be likely to give up, especially in the dead of winter.

Second, and this is really ugly, by controlling nuclear energy, the Russians could play the "crazy card" threatening to invoke a nuclear meltdown if Ukraine doesn't succumb. This would really be stupid, because prevailing winds would send most of the radiation right into Russia. The Ukrainian Intelligence reported that by holding the nuclear power plants, then setting up missile launchers around the plants, it becomes too risky for the locations to be attacked.

Were the Russian troops misled concerning the war?Were they told it was a practice exercise?What will happen when Putin's people don't support him?

Reports by captured soldiers and officers indicate that no one was told they were invading Ukraine until the night before. Some of the Conscripts were told they were fighting Nazis in Russia.

Morale is very bad. Those captured Russians who have made anti-Putin statements can never go back to Russia without being sent to a concentration camp in Siberia.

When the pressure from the Communist Party and the people gets too strong he will be eased out of power.

The strategy to hide assets is the same as used by any Mafia organization:

- 1. Turn the assets into cash and bury it in accounts in places like the Cayman Islands or China.
- 2. Put the assets into layers of holding/hiding companies run by third parties. The typical assets for this approach include land and stocks. Often these are corporations where several oligarchs hold major investments, and collude to keep their investments hidden from government authorities.
- 3. Often there is a private bank involved which is chartered in an unregulated country.
- 4. Oher money is parked in the black market, or even more ugly trades such as child trafficking and human slavery.
- 5. The darkest corner of finance is Crypto Currency. Oligarchs are moving money into this device. The US Congress has introduced legislation to ban transfers into Crypto. Watch this carefully, because it could impact the value of Crypto. (If you are a Crypto investor, I wouldn't want to hold stock in this unreliable form of barter there is nothing to guarantee its value be forewarned)

NATO will not be going to war with Russia.

Saving Ukraine will be difficult, but sane minds will not risk a Nuclear War, which will be WWIII.

📠 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

This presumption (and it is a presumption) will be tested within the next few days. Putin is angry and frustrated. He has threated his Generals in the Ukraine with Court-Martial if they don't succeed.

It would be appropriate to assume, with all the Russian forces deployed in the Ukraine, that Putin will escalate the war in the next few days. He knows time is against him with 20,000 missiles being deployed by the NATO powers.

Things will get very ugly, and then we will know if Putin decides to trigger Tactical Nuclear Weapons. Russian military strategy calls for TNWs to be used to gain compliance of the opposition. This is when things get really hairy. Many of us will not sleep well while this battle is waging.

Then expect China to intervene as a deal broker to come to engage in creating a negotiated settlement in about 2 weeks.

Probably for two reasons:

First, by holding the reactor, they can turn off the electricity to a large part of central Ukraine, thus throwing the nation into a crisis. People with no electricity, in theory, will be likely to give up, especially in the dead of winter.

Second, and this is really ugly, by controlling nuclear energy, the Russians could play the "crazy card" threatening to invoke a nuclear meltdown if Ukraine doesn't succumb. This would really be stupid, because prevailing winds would send most of the radiation right into Russia. Why else would they want the defunct Chernobyl powerplant? When you hear the proverb: "Absolute Power Corrupts Absolutely" it refers to a bundle of negative consequences.

One of those consequences is the Tyrant starts to believe, over the course of time, they are omnipotent, self-absorbed in their own ego-maniacal delusions.

They build a safe team around them: sycophants at best, and henchmen at worst.

This is what happened to Putin.

Thus, Putin failed to assess the situation in the Ukraine, which was significantly different from other places Putin had subdued, such as Chechnya or Syria:

- The Ukraine is in Europe, not Asia, thus Europeans relate to Ukrainians
- The People of the Ukraine, 'tho many speak Russian, are not aligned with Putin's desires. He thought Ukrainians would welcome him.
- Putin misjudged the NATO response and the world response.
- He misjudged the fact that so many Ukrainians speak English, and could communicate their message to the world

- He miscalculated the enormous size of the Ukraine.
- He did not factor the Leadership Qualities of Zelensky or Biden
- He failed to recognize that the European Community would rapidly see Putin's actions in light of their history of being at war with Hitler — Putin is the 21st century Hitler.
- He did not understand the human spirit (since he has none) that would display the ideals of democracy, unity, and courage
- There's an old saying: "It's not the Size of the Dog in the Fight, but the Size of the Fight in the Dog." Putin missed this completely.

Now he has painted himself into an untenable corner. Had Putin created a more challenging group around him to examine the realities, and flaws in his strategy, he would never have gotten into this mess.

When you hear the proverb: "Absolute Power Corrupts Absolutely" it refers to a bundle of negative consequences.

One of those consequences is the Tyrant starts to believe, over the course of time, they are omnipotent, self-absorbed in their own ego-maniacal delusions.

They build a safe team around them: sycophants at best, and henchmen at worst.

This is what happened to Putin.

Thus, Putin failed to assess the situation in the Ukraine, which was significantly different from other places Putin had subdued, such as Chechnya or Syria:

- The Ukraine is in Europe, not Asia, thus Europeans relate to Ukrainians
- The People of the Ukraine, 'tho many speak Russian, are not aligned with Putin's desires. He thought Ukrainians would welcome him.
- Putin misjudged the NATO response and the world response.
- He misjudged the fact that so many Ukrainians speak English, and could communicate their message to the world
- He miscalculated the enormous size of the Ukraine.
- He did not factor the Leadership Qualities of Zelensky or Biden
- He failed to recognize that the European Community would rapidly see Putin's
 actions in light of their history of being at war with Hitler Putin is the 21st
 century Hitler.
- He did not understand the human spirit (since he has none) that would display the ideals of democracy, unity, and courage
- There's an old saying: "It's not the Size of the Dog in the Fight, but the Size of the Fight in the Dog." Putin missed this completely.

📠 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Now he has painted himself into an untenable corner. Had Putin created a more challenging group around him to examine the flaws in his strategy, he would never have gotten into this mess.

All this could have been predicted. See Beware the Authoritarian Dark Triad

http://www.iclinstitute.com/Beware the Beast -- Authoritarian Dark Triad V1.11.pdf

121. What are the chances that there are active assassination plots against Vladimir right now?

There are three aspects to this question:

- 1. Are there active assassination plots?
- 2. What are their motives?
- 3. What's the chance of success?

While it is pure speculation on my part, when there is a high level of dissatisfaction in a country, some people are actively plotting to remove the leader. As this dissatisfaction grows as the economic sanctions take hold and the losses on the battlefield mount, the pressure for removal will increase, and assassination will be one option for removal. This is what happened to Czar Nicholas in 1917, who was killed by Revolutionaries.

However assassination is not the only, nor best way to remove a leader.

The motives for removal can be high-minded or come from the dark soul of another scoundrel who sees an opportunity to seize power. Either could happen in Russia in the next several months.

As to the chances for success: it's not as easy as it might seem. Most plots fail, and the more people involved, the greater the chance of a leak. Operation Valkyrie in WWII, which was orchestrated by members of Hitler's command failed, and the plotters and their families got the firing squad.

122. <u>If Vladimir Putin was assassinated, what would the response be</u> from his military and the Russian people?

While we like to think of assassination as a great solution, it can backfire in rapid fire succession.

The Law of Unintended Consequences tells us that there is a high likelihood the Russians will blame NATO for the war, regardless of who actually did the killing.

If that happens, the war gets worse, as things spin out of control.

If the assassination is attempted but is unsuccessful, again, things get worse. Think about the failed assassination of Hitler.

However, if the assassination is like that of Julius Caesar, by 60 members of the Roman Senate, then the outcome will be far more positive.

123. To what extent is President Putin at risk of being removed from power by the Russian oligarchy because of the sanctions imposed on them by the West?

Excellent Question, and a bit tricky to answer.

Removing a dictator and the backlash of sanctions can be more than convoluted.

If you look back at past Soviet leaders and how they were removed from office, there are some clues.

Stalin died. Others were replaced because they no longer had the trust and faith of the power-elites — those who could say "enough is enough."

In Putin's case, up until two weeks ago, he was trusted and revered in Russia. The opposition was from outside the inner circle of power.

However, Putin misplayed his hand in the Ukraine. The moral and economic pressures of global condemnation and economic sanctions, coupled with massive losses on the battlefield will bring a lot of practical "realpolitik" force on Putin. Now his inner source of power is eroding.

These will come from within Russia from his generals, the Politburo, some oligarchs, and the people whose real economic well-being is shattered.

The wild card in the game is China's Xi Jinping. The Russian economic meltdown is playing directly into China's hands. It can supply goods and financial backing to Russia, in exchange for China getting the best end of the bargain.

However, as the game of global chess plays out, China realizes its real value is keeping its bread buttered on both sides — being seen as the savior of Russia's economy and continuing to trade with the West, which keeps its economy vibrant.

Therefore, watch for China to apply pressure. Xi Jinping will position himself to the rescuer of the civilized world by brokering a peace between the West and Russia. Part of the deal

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

may be that Putin has his wings clipped first, and then the power-elite may replace him for being ineffective, a bad risk taker, and imploding the economy. This could happen by the end of this year.

Watch for the signals — much happens behind the scenes.

In the end, China will be the big winner.

124. How strong is communism in Russia nowadays?

There is very little pure "communism" left in Russia from an economic perspective. The Marx-Lenin economic configuration was flawed from the outset. It is very difficult to maintain as it creates no real growth in the economic sector.

What is the residue of "Communism" is the flawed political system that concentrates power in the "power-elite." This is the Communist Party, that was supposed to represent the people, and be democratically elected.

But just like all who suppress freedom and dissent, the old adage "Absolute Power Corrupts Absolutely" engages its control over the people, and they become oppressed.

Marx had a shallow understanding of real economic interplay, and an even worse construct for Human Behavior. Specifically, he failed to understand that normal people balance their own self-interest with the greater good of their community. Instead, Marx believed people would sacrifice everything for the community, and let the government determine how to share the benefits. When power-elites realized they could satisfy their own self-interest by taking from the poor and feeding their greed, the Communist system collapsed.

This is why most communistic experiments have failed. Only when small groups can control the resources and labor inputs, such as the Israeli kibbutz, does Communism work. Communism does not scale up well to the national level, whereas National Socialism does scale up, but it too has its flaws.

The major flaw in both systems is that they don't consider the human spirit and the innovative power of the mind to be calculated into the economic equation. This spirit can flourish in Capitalistic economics, as long as national policy supports and enforces free markets.

125. If the Russian Ukrainian war was to end and Putin stepped down as leader, what would he do afterward as far as a job? Or would he just take up retirement?

He'd go into seclusion and quasi exile in Russia because he would be convicted of war crimes, and could never leave the country.

Here in the west we don't realize that Putin is still a hero in Russia, and the propaganda machine will hail him for all the "good" he did.

126. Will Putin eventually be arrested for war crimes?

Unlikely.

Russia is not a signatory to the International Criminal Court.

The International Court may convict him in absentia.

But he will hide away within the boundaries of Mother Russia, avoiding extradition.

The only way to apprehend him is to have his successor government authorize his arrest and extradition from Russia.

This will have little chance of success unless there is a Revolution similar to that of 1917.

127. Are Russians aware of the world's outrage at Putin and his invasion?

Russians outside of Russia are very aware, but inside Russia it's probably less than 5% of the entire population, and perhaps 20% in the urban centers.

Remember, because Putin controls the media, his propaganda machine is pumping the Russian people full of outrageous lies, distortions, and suppression of truth. The West is being portrayed as evil Nazis.

Anyone disputing this false narrative is thrown in jail.

BTW, if anyone ever doubted the value of Democracies versus Authoritarian government, you are seeing the fallacy of the Authoritarian argument unfold before your eyes with Putin.

128. What is the basis for the Western leaders to believe that harsh economic sanctions will not lead to a nuclear war with Russia eventually?

We are entering very new territory with the global sanctions on Russia.

No one has ever done this before to this magnitude.

However, use of sanctions and embargoes do have a history of creating socio-economic and political change by causing internal opposition to tyrants.

In the Russian case today, we are counting on two internal forces to exert pressure that will remove Putin.

- 1. The Communist Party will feel the pinch, see the internal suffering, and reign him in. Without the backing of the Party, which controls the purse strings, Putin is in trouble. As the Russian economy tanks, these forces will eventually force Putin out. (My hunch is he'll be gone by the end of the year.
- 2. The People of Russia will suffer, become angry, and create opposition in the streets. Remember, this is what happened in 1917 when the Czar got Russians entangled in WWI. The Czar was overthrown by the Bolsheviks. This can happen again.

The Russians know that a nuclear war is the BIGGEST LOSE-LOSE Proposition in the history of the world.

Hopefully, the Communist Party, after it is clear that the Ukrainian Escapade is a failure, will start clipping Putin's wings.

129. What are the best arguments to convince Putin-admirers about his absolute insanity in ongoing conflict? There are some people in my country Slovakia who still believe Putin is innocent!

Your question is important, but difficult to answer. It is the same problem we have in the US trying to convince Trump supporters that he is a bad guy.

Recognize the art of persuasion. Asking questions is more valuable than bludgeoning people with your insights and intelligence.

Ask people questions that will reveal things that don't make sense, that surface "cognitive dissonance" — data, facts, information, criteria, values, etc. that seem to be in contradiction.

People are influenced greatly by their peers and friends who don't threaten them. For example, often I will say: "You are a dear friend, and I have always admired your, but

I'm perplexed by your views on Putin. Please tell me not just why you like him, but how do you perceive goodness in him?"

Two days ago my cousin Jack started spouting off how Trump would do a better job handling the Ukraine Crisis than Biden.

Finally I said "Dammit, Jack, we've got a war on our hands. We need to be united and imaginative about how to protect our friends in the Ukraine (Jack and I are half Polish). Here's what our cousins are doing in Poland to help the refugees. We need to be talking about how to help them, not arguing about US politics."

Suddenly the conversation changed to a higher order of thinking.

130. How would Russia replace Putin when he becomes too old to rule or passes away?

Technically, according to the Constitution of 1993, the Prime Minister is supposed to succeed the President.

Currently that is Mikhail Vladimirovich Mishustin, Then the next President should be elected by the people. Now, we all know this will not be a normal line of succession and that any voting will not allow an opposition candidate.

It's bound to be one big ugly game of "king of the mountain," the winner of the nomination being the result of a power struggle.

131. If Putin was assassinated, would this end this insane invasion?

First, don't get your hopes too high that someone will take him out. He has layers of protection around him.

If he did die in office, his successor (technically the Prime Minister) would likely call a cease fire, negotiate a withdrawal to the Donbas and Crimea (plus some more territory) and stop the war.

Then his successor must figure out how to rebuild Russia, clean out Putin's henchmen, and deal with the aftermath of the war, address reparations, and keep from getting overthrown. Not a job destined for glory.

132. Should Putin be executed for war crimes?

If the Nuremburg trials are a guide, in all, 199 defendants were tried, 161 were convicted, and 37 were sentenced to death.

In WWII, Britian, US, and USSR met in 1943 to sign the **Declaration of Atrocities** stating:

"The United Kingdom, the United States and the Soviet Union have received from many quarters evidence of atrocities, massacres and cold-blooded mass executions which are being perpetrated by Hitlerite forces in many of the countries they have overrun . . . those German officers and men and members of the Nazi party who have been responsible for or have taken a consenting part in the above atrocities, massacres and executions will be sent back to the countries in which their abominable deeds were done in order that they may be judged and punished according to the laws of these liberated countries and of free governments which will be erected therein..."

Now the tables are turned.

The problem with Putin is we'd have to capture him, extradite him, then execute him. It's doubtful we'd lay our hands on him.

133. Was Russia ever truly democratic? What went wrong since 1993?

The Russian Reforms of 1993 at first were theoretically designed to make the country democratic.

However, the reality was that the "United Russia Party" has always won, defeating the Communist Party and other splinter parties.

Since '93, there have only been three Presidents: <u>Boris Yeltsin</u> elected in 1991 and 1996, <u>Vladimir Putin</u> in 2000, 2004, 2012 and 2018 and <u>Dmitry Medvedev</u> in 2008.

Since Putin first came into office, elections have been increasingly coercive. A number of independent agencies have concluded that since 2008 Russia has been basically a one party system, which mean democracy is dead.

134. Will Vladimir Putin be alive in five years?

I'm not qualified to answer this question, only God is.

However, if the question was "Will Putin be in power in 5 years" my educated guess is "NO."

Why would the power-elite in Russia every want such an incompetent man as Putin at the helm. The devastation he has wrought on his own people is overwhelming.

135. Is Putin digging his own grave by invading Ukraine?

Putin has already dug his own grave.

His legacy is shattered. He is the biggest loser in Russian history.

The mess in Ukraine, even if he wins the battle, he will have lost the war and the wills of people.

The Ukraine FIASCO will go down in the annals of modern military history as the fourth biggest blunder, only superseded by the entanglements that got us into WWI, Hitler's decision to invade Russia in WWII, and the Japanese decision to attack Pearl Harbor.

The concept of a Pyrrhic Victory (a victory that cost more than was gained) may be replaced by a new term — a Putinnic Victory,

Putin has dug his grave in Russia, but doesn't realize it. In today's electronic world, the Russian people, who have been fed a truck load of lies via the propaganda machine. will eventually learn the truth about the Ukraine. When they do, the boomerang effect will make them very angry, and want Putin's blood.

Putin has to institute martial law to prevent this anger from boiling over into a REVOLUTION. Czar Nicholas was eliminated in 1917 because he attempted such things. Will Putin suffer the same fate soon????

136. Will the Russian oligarchs be able to hang on to some of their toys and assets now located in the West?

To a very limited extent.

Many of their "toys" are buried in shell companies owned by phantom shareholders.

Other assets have been transferred into CryptoCurrency accounts.

Both will be hard to find.

137. Are any of the known Russian oligarchs not corrupt?

First, what is an oligarch in Russia?

• These individuals were given sweet-heart deals under the regime of Boris Yeltsin where state owned industries were privatized, and given to "businessmen" who took over banks, oil & gas, airlines, mines, etc.

Second, what was the "deal" that got them these lucrative assets?

 The evidence points to them paying off members of the parties and paying "royalties" to keep them from being unregulated. This created a corrupt system of business with black market payments that concentrated 35% of the wealth of Russia in 110 individuals.

Regarding Putin, it is speculated that he is the richest man in the world, raking off a "protection" fee from the oligarchs.

In other words, he's the Mafia boss. And all the oligarchs are, by their nature, corrupt "kleptocrats" who don't enable the Russian people to share in the wealth they help create.

The Russian people hate this abuse of power. Maybe the Ukrainian Fiasco will crack the whole mess wide open.

138. Is true knowledge the real problem with Russians? Trump thinks Putin is a genius but is he? Suppose Russians woke up realizing rich grifters were manipulating them? Would the Ukrainian invasion end sooner?

First, a large portion of the Russian people are quite intelligent, well educated, and sophisticated in their understanding of the world. Up until the Ukraine Fiasco, there was a moderate level of freedom of the press. This has been closed down in the last three weeks, and now Russians are in the dark regarding "true knowledge." Anyone who speaks the truth gets 15 years in jail — a violation of basic human rights. Our 1st Amendment to the Constitution protects freedom of speech and freedom of the press.

Second, Trump is a fool (maybe an idiot savant) for thinking or saying that Putin is a genius in the context of a compliment. Further, Putin may actually be very smart, but so was Hitler, Stalin, Mao, and all the other Dark Triad evil characters of history. The real point is that Putin has no conscience, no soul, no moral center. Trump could not see these qualities of character were missing because Trump suffers from the same maladies.

Third, Grifting is one of the characteristics of a corrupt government. Russia has beheld this greed among the power-elites for centuries. Most Russians have learned to tolerate it, but not like it. Exposing the depth and severity of the egregious violation of fairness in economic distribution can infuriate communist and capitalist alike. Will they revolt? Yes, if the internal police force becomes disillusioned too.

Fourth, will knowledge end the Ukrainian Fiasco sooner? Knowledge seldom is the sole force for social change. Intellectual facts seldom create massive social transformation. If we look toward the American Revolution for some lessons, it was not until three powerful forces came into alignment and unity:

1. there was a long list of grievances and a powerful rational imperative to trigger action and congruence of thinking across the colonies.

- 2. there was a powerful moral imperative that clearly defined King George's actions as in violation of "Natural (Divine) Law."
- 3. the people got angry propelling things like the Burning of the Gaspee in 1772, the Boston Tea Party in 1773, and the Battle of Lexington & Concord in 1775 that culminated in the Continental Congress declaring Independence in 1776; thus, translating anger into action and passionate belief in the words they espoused.

139. Does the Russian communist party support or oppose Putin's actions in Ukraine?

Just before the Russian Invasion of Ukraine, the Russian Duma (Parliament) met and overwhelmingly passed a resolution by the Communist Party of the Russian Federation calling on Putin to recognize the independence of the Donbas separatists as independent republics, As Putin represents the All-Russia People's Party, and the Communist Party are the minority, Putin had he imperative to attack a limited section of the Ukraine.

Putin exploited this mandate to attack all the Ukraine.

(BTW, Hitler did the same things, just so his actions of atrocity were "legal.")

Ten days into the war, three members of the Communist party felt they were duped and voiced opposition to the broader war.

We have not heard from them since. Are they in jail???

140. So if the USA has intelligence about Putin's agendas, why are they not doing anything about it? I believe the US government has full involvement with the Ukrainian war Playing both sides of the fence What are your beliefs

You are getting spooked by Putin.

Intel is not like we have a direct link to the mind of Putin.

Intel takes multiple inputs from a variety of sources.

From those pieces and fragments we attempt to recognize a pattern or a convergence of data that provides probabilities.

In the case of Putin, we don't know what he's going to do next because he doesn't decide until he thinks he can outsmart NATO and the Ukrainians, which seems to be determined by the daily reports from his field generals.

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Also, you will never know what the intel community is doing and thinking. There ops are clandestine, and must remain so.

Have a little faith in the these professionals — they aren't the dark force. We are NOT playing both sides of the fence; China does.

141. Is it possible that the Russian military will turn on Putin

Don't hold your breath for this miracle to happen.

Here's why:

Unlike the US Military that understands morality and international law, the Russian High Command are more robotic automatons.

The entire Russian Command is a top-down system where everyone in a lower rank is subservient to the rank above them. Imagination is totally lacking.

In the next week, the Russians will ramp-up their attack, taking advantage of the cold front that will refreeze the mud that has bogged them down.

Nevertheless, the Russians will take horrible losses. Expect by this time next week the total Russian deaths will be 10,000 with 25,000 wounded and the loss of 100 tanks. And they still will not have conquered Eastern Ukraine.

At this point it is conceivable the Generals will finally give Putin the stark realities and put up a fuss. Will his mean they "turn" on Putin? They may try to change his mind, but they will not boot him out of office — too risky. If they failed, it would be the firing squad.

142. How was Dmitrij Medvedev as a successor after Vladimir Putin?

Apparently, Medvedev was the hand-selected puppet of Putin. Like any puppet, the grand puppeteer was directing most of his moves.

Yet, there is some evidence that Medvedev didn't like being a puppet and bucked Putin at times.

Putin's ego couldn't stand being behind the scenes like a typical political boss. Putin had to take the reins to be sure everything conformed to Putin's massive insecurity complex.

143. Is Vladimir Putin really in charge and calling the shots in Russia in 2022?

Right now, the answer is yes. He's calling the shots. Making the decisions, Overseeing the strategy. Directing the propaganda machine. Determining whose advice he will listen to.

This is the problem with an authoritarian tyrant — they don't use the resources of intelligent people effectively, just as Hitler wouldn't listen to any contrarians.

It's called being a "victim of group think." It creates a delusional world for Putin.

Next week, as the war continues to go badly for the Russians, more of Putin's internal support will start to erode. Hopefully these saner heads will rope him in.

144. Why did Putin sack 8 of his generals?

Let me illustrate this answer with a story:

Part of my military officer training was as a midshipman in Marine Boot camp.

I was on guard duty marching to protect the entrance of the Marine Base when all the sudden I got a severe attack of some intestinal bug. Intense pain shot through my lower digestive track. I got a massive case of diarrhea. I needed to get to a toilet instantly.

I rushed to the Sargeant of the guard and asked to be taken off duty to prevent filling my pants with Shxt. The Sargeant looked at me sternly, denying my request stating:

"Excuses are like Axxholes. We've all got them and they all stink!"

I went back on guard duty, marching for another three hours will a pile of ShXt in my pants.

Moral of the story: A general has no excuses for lack of success.

In some militaries, you don't get sacked, you get Court Martialed, or worse, the firing squad.

145. Will an average Russian choose Westerners over Putin's ideology?

First, Putin doesn't really have an "ideology" in the traditional sense of the word. This is not a battle between capitalism versus communism, as it was for virtually all the 20th century.

So the "ideological argument" defaults to "freedom versus oppression" or "democracy versus authoritarianism."

Second, human nature will always choose freedom over oppression. However there is one caveat: if freedom becomes either chaotic or discordant (like Republicans constantly fighting

🕞 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Democrats) then people will choose stability over insecurity. This is what makes an autocracy desirable for many.

Third, the biggest problem with autocracies is that they all-too-often devolve into authoritarian, tyrannical oppressive rule. Basically Russia is suffering this devolution right now.

So, to answer your question, from many studies in human behavior, generally older people will choose stability and predictability, accepting autocratic (not authoritarian) rule if it is somewhat fair and just, even if it is Draconian. This is what Putin has done up until the Ukrainian Fiasco.

Generally, younger people will choose freedom, liberty, and opportunity in a more open society. The 30 years of exposure to "western" ways of living in Russia have built a base of support for more freedom. Putin has just taken these freedoms away. That makes younger people angry.

Putin has violated a core principle in human behavior: it's better to start with strictness and gradually become more lax, than vice versa.

The economic sanctions plus Putin's error in judgement by becoming more tyrannical toward his own people is a potent cocktail that has a high chance of generating a revolt in Russia that could lead to Putin's removal from power.

Prediction: Putin is gone by the end of the year.

146. Will Russia turn on Putin?

Eventually yes, but this may take some time.

Putin has made a fatal mistake with the Russian people. He has underestimated the intelligence of his people, particularly in the younger generations who are savvy and have tasted the honey of Western luxuries and opportunities.

When people move from the freedoms of the last thirty years in Russia, their expectations are optimistic for their future.

Take those freedoms away with the crackdowns on the press, closing of western businesses, and the arrests of demonstrators, and people will get angry.

Just look at what threw Americans over the brink with our Revolution in 1776. King George began to take away freedoms, putting Massachusetts under martial law in 1774, The same thing will happen in Russia.

In 1917, the oppression of the Czarist regime caused the Russian Revolution. Russians are quite aware of their history, and eventually will rise up. The more Putin invokes oppressive policies, the more he isolates himself from the support of his people.

147. Will Russia's fate be the same as the fate of the Soviet Union after wasting energy fighting in Afghanistan?

In basic terms, yes.

While there are some differences, Soviet Russia made fundamental mistakes in the post WWII era.

Economically, the Soviets used Eastern Europe as a source of labor to artificially boost their economic capacity to support their military build-up. There are many flaws to this strategy — it requires oppression, which requires a high level of resources to sustain it and it stagnates growth because there is no capacity to innovate and adapt and improve.

Wars are extremely costly on any country. In American history, the constant wars between Britain and France caused each king to increase the taxes on their people. Both countries suffered Revolutions as Americans and French Peasants said enough is enough.

With the economic sanctions being imposed, the Russian economy is going into meltdown. Putin has lost on this front and is in denial. This is the backfire that will result in his eventual demise.

148. Somebody once said, all people deserve their leader. Do Russians deserve their leader? What about Ukraine? Any example is welcome

This aphorism is similar to the one "A Company President gets the Union he deserves." Having acted as a Labor-Management mediator at one time in my career, I'd say there is a lot of validity to this view.

However, when it comes to leaders of nations, the equation becomes more difficult to compute, especially when tyrants rule with an iron fist.

- Did Germany deserve Hitler? Did they know in 1933 he would create WWII?
- Did Myanmar (Burma) deserve the tyrants that have ruined their country by military coups?
- Did the Serbs deserve Milosevich?
- Did the American Colonists deserve King George III?

No nation "deserves" tyranny. Tyrants enter under false promises, deceit, and cunning. Undoing them is not easy, and often great brutality is the only way reasonable people rise up and overthrow the scoundrels.

One commentator stated:

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

I have concluded after years of reading about Russian history, that they seem to always be in the hands of one strongman or another. This goes way back to before even Ivan the Terrible.

Once in a while, there is a short three to four years where hope springs eternal and then back they go into the hands of one strong person.

I hate to say it it, but maybe through generations of these happenings, their mental DNA has been altered to accept that type of life and that type of government.

Perhaps the younger generation of Russians will take over for a while after this war is over, but they may collapse intro the same old ways later on.

As for Zelinsky, he is the symbol of the new Ukraine which was formed after the Soviet Union in 1991. He is the symbol of a country that wants to think for itself. I would expect that he will continue to lead the Ukraine through the war but sometime thereafter, fade from the scene. He makes for a Great War leader but perhaps may not be a great peace leader. What happened to Churchill may happen to him.

149. Could it be said that Russia is still pretty much under hardline communist rule, even 30 years after the fall of the Soviet Union? I mean 15 years in prison for anyone in Russia calling Putin's "special military operation" a "war". C'mon!

It's important to make some vital distinctions here.

While "Communist Rule" has always been associated Authoritarian Leadership, Russia/USSR has not always been Authoritarian rule. Gorbachev was a "communist" but not an authoritarian.

What Putin is doing is imposing the worst of Authoritarian rule — much like Hitler. Even Stalin was more progressive than Putin.

150. How long do you think Putin will rule Russia?

Based on studying human behavior, the history of regime changes over the centuries, the current FIASCO, and the power of the economic sanctions, I will make an educated "guess."

Within 30 days he will have to accept a Brokered Peace Deal. At the same time, the two major parties in Russia will show great displeasure with Putin because of the waste of lives, resources, internal dissent, and economic sanctions. They will begin by limiting his authority.

By the end of the year he's removed from power.

151. How will freezing/seizing properties of rich Russians make Putin change his mind?

In and of itself, seizing Oligarch's assets would do little to change Putin's mind. Putin has used this leverage over the Oligarchs as a way of demanding their loyalty. But, in reality, the Oligarchs wield very little power in Russia — they don't control the army, nor the Duma (parliament) nor the people. Some have control over some banks, but Putin could easily strip the Oligarchs of banks by edict.

However, the Oligarch seizing strategy, when combined with other strategies, such as sanctions, etc. become additive, and will cause internal opposition to Putin, which, if successful, will limit his ability to rule with an iron fist.

152. How can the economy of Russia be stabilized after the war?

Unlike Germany or Japan (whose buildings and infrastructure were destroyed during WWII), Russia would not need a Marshal Plan.

Instead, Russia would need to become a Democratic Republic, appoint qualified leaders for their governmental institutions, and clean up corruption to ensure proper levels of equitable distribution of wealth.

Oligarchs must be deprived of their ill-begotten wealth. Laws must be revised to prevent concentration of wealth (much like our anti-trust laws).

This will not be easy, but it is necessary.

153. How many Russian oligarchs have influence over anyone in the KGB (now FSB)?

Probably very very few.

The FSB (KGB) is a spy & intelligence operation designed to undermine western operations. The Oligarchs are wealthy corrupt manipulators of money, business, and natural resources.

The FSB has massive dossiers on the Oligarchs, which Putin uses to keep the Oligarchs under control by knowing all their points of vulnerability.

So the FSB most likely has more influence on the Oligarchs rather than vice versa.

Part Five: PUTIN'S INTERACTION with GEO-POLITICS

154. How can Putin's war be stopped?

A multi-pronged effort:

1. Military

- Keep feeding weapons to the Ukrainians
- Escalate the ground war gradually by ensuring the Russians take no more territory
- Concentrate the war in smaller territory, not the whole country

2. Territory

- Ensure Humanitarian Safe Harbor Zones in Western and Middle Ukraine
- Prevent the fall of Moldova

3. Isolation

- Make Russia a Pariah state, ostracized from the rest of the world
- Stop all trade and cultural exchange until the war stops
- Force China to Broker a Peace Deal

4. Economics

- Continue escalation of sanctions to bankrupt the Russian Economy
- Devalue the Ruble and implode the stock market

5. Internal Dissent

- Create anger and discontent inside Russia to generate opposition
- Encourage the Duma (Parliament) to remove Putin

6. Moral Indignation

- Make Putin's actions a moral war of Good vs Evil
- Persecute Putin for war crimes

When all these forces are aligned, Putin cannot continue — these become an so strong that no authoritarian can stand against them for long

155. Why is Israel so supportive of Putin?

According to a poll of Israelis published in the Jerusalem Post just a few day ago:

76% of Israelis support Ukraine and only 10% supported Russia.

Within the Arab population in Israel, 41% supported the Ukrainians compared to 35% for the Russians.

Israel has been a neutral country.

The Israeli government signed the UN Condemnation of Russia and expressed support for the Ukrainian people.

Remember, Israel borders Syria, which is aligned with Russia and hostile to Israel. Putin is unlikely to push the button unless he believes his country is being attacked.

156. Why are Russian people being held accountable for Putin's actions?

The fact that many people in the West are holding Russian people accountable is a reality of human behavior — fearing what we don't understand.

Certainly, it is not fair. In my experience, Russians are really great people, especially those who have immigrated to the US.

Last week, my friend Olga was downhearted because of the way people started treating her. I wrote back:

I fear many Americans will wrongly judge Russians because of Putin's actions.

My personal experience with Russian people is highly favorable. The Russian heritage is far deeper that most Americans will never truly appreciate.

Russians are highly cultured and fine-tuned to all the arts. I've been inspired by Russian music, ballet, poetry, and literature.

Russians, like Poles and Ukrainians, are heart-felt people, soulful, and caring. They are fun to be with, quite insightful, and have a very strong work ethic. Their contribution to America is invaluable, coming here to the United States because it offers freedom, liberty and opportunity not available in their native lands.

So many of my friends are deep Christians. Christ tells us to "Love thy Neighbor." Let all Americans follow that blessed wisdom & guidance. Let us embrace Russian-Americans as brothers & sisters.

157. Was Putin possibly waiting for Trump to vacate NATO if he was elected again in 2020?

To answer this question, it's best to try to put yourself in Putin's mind. (I know this is difficult, because Putin's mind is wired very differently from the normal human. The same goes for Trump.)

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

I would surmise that during the Trump Presidency, Putin saw NATO and the US being divided and weak because there was no united approach.

Putin probably assumed Trump would not oppose Russia's invasion of the Ukraine, and that Trump would be reelected. NATOs response would be weak.

When Trump lost the Presidency, Putin saw that Trump and his faction of the Republican Party was still strong, thus the United States was terribly divided a year after the election.

When Biden abysmally botched the Afghanistan withdrawal, Putin was convinced that Biden was weak and indecisive.

Given this landscape, Putin surmised an attack on Ukraine would be a cake-walk with no push-back from NATO. Putin figured we would squawk a lot, but words are not bombs, so he would do exactly what he did in Chechnya etc. His opponent in NATO was a paper tiger.

Such a move on Ukraine would be a black eye for Biden, helping the Trump led Republicans would win the 2022 mid-terms, and Trump would be back in the White House in 2024.

Timing was critical, and the window of opportunity was now, from Putin's point of view.

History will record that Putin miscalculated in one of the worst blunders in military history, only surpassed by the entanglements that got us in WWI, Hitler's 1941 attack on Russia, and Japan's 1941 attack on Pearl Harbor.

Inherently Putin failed to consider how the Law of Unintended consequences would work against him and in favor of the West.

158. How good of friends are former US President Donald Trump and Russian dictator Vladimir Putin, and who is the liaison between the current White House, and former President Trump?

First, neither Trump nor Putin have any friends. They can't because their psyches will not allow them to trust anyone whatsoever, with the possible exception of their own blood family.

With no trust, you can only expect loyalty, which is not voluntary, but the result of some force that holds people in their orbit. This force can be money, sex, power, or incriminating evidence.

Relationships with Trump or Putin are sustainable only when each are gaining some advantage from the interaction. When the deal is no longer beneficial to one of the parties, the other party gets "thrown under the bus."

You only have to look at Trump's long history of annihilating his former "friends" to understand this phenomenon. Just ask Jeff Sessions or Bill Barr, or John Kelly, to name a just a few.

I don't expect there is any liaison between Trump and Biden. There is no trust Biden could have in anything Trump says. Remember Trump tried to steal the election from Biden. That very act was treasonous in Biden's view. Trump attacked Biden's son, another breach of honor.

159. What are Putin's complaints about the West?

Having been a mediator in more than a few disputes in my career, I've learned to listen between the lines when people talk about complaints.

The real issue is not about his "complaints" but about his fears.

These are actually simple, but Putin will not say them out loud.

#1: He fears losing power

#2: He fears having an opponent on his border

#3: He fears his dream of being Russia's great leader in history is in jeopardy.

#4: He fears being assassinated

#5: He fears Democracy

Perhaps this list goes on.

Most of these fears can't be negotiated.

He sees war as the best way to resolve his fears.

And that is the problem in this putrid game.

160. Why does Russia and Putin still not see that no country in the world wants to be associated with Russia or live according to brutal backward poor Russian standards?

Because of Putin's multiple disorders in his personality (Dark Triad), he is also paranoid, obsessive-compulsive, and mega-maniacal. This is basically the same mental condition that plagued Hitler.

Basically, when he sees the global response, he gets angry, escalates his broken and ill-conceived strategy, and just goes into Bulldozer mode. Hitler did the same thing.

Putin has no conscience (the definition of a psychopath); he only understands POWER, nothing else.

When POWER opposes him, he might act differently and try to bargain his way out of this thing. Or, worse, he might try to escalate with tactical nukes to gain concessions.

161. Why did Barack Obama say Russia wasn't a threat, then later tell Putin he'd be more "flexible" after the election, two years before Putin annexed Crimea?

In 2012, Medvedev served as president beginning in 2008, the same year Obama was elected. All of Obama's discussions were "president to president."

Putin was not Obama's counterpart at that time. This was prior to the 2012 elections when Putin was reelected president, and Medvedev took the #2 position as prime minister, which is next in line for succession if Putin died or was removed from office. Medvedev held this office until 2020.

Medvedev was far more reasonable and rational than Putin. Obama thought he could reason with Medvedev.

162. What is to keep China from confiscating Russia's oil and gas producing lands near Mongolia? Isn't Putin nearly defenseless in the east? Surely he wouldn't nuke China?

Why invade Russia when you own it?

Yes, it would be easy for China to walk right into eastern Russia, which is virtually defenseless. But then China would prove they would do what the Japanese tried in WWII - take over Mongolia, then go for Taiwan. The Chinese are too smart, and too patient to make such mistakes.

163. Will China's Xi Jinping let Vladimir Putin start a world war before finding a way to stop him? Why, or why not?

Excellent Question, and fundamental to the denouement of the Ukrainian Crisis.

Xi Jinping has a massive stake in this game.

First, as one of Russia's largest trading partners — lots of money is at stake.

Second, China wants to be recognized as a major global power.

China actually has the most to gain from brokering a settlement of the war in Ukraine. It is the largest country that has bought into neither the sanctions of Russia nor the international condemnations. China played the chess board better than Putin, Russia will end up as a debtor and China as a creditor in the deal.

Recall in 1903, Teddy Roosevelt interceded in ending the war between Russia and Japan. He won the Nobel Prize, and it propelled the US into an emerging super-power role.

Xi will try to do the same.

164. Is Putin Xi Jinping's puppet?

No at all. Neither is the Puppet of the other. Both Putin and Xi Jinping are their own leaders connected in an unholy coalition, much like Hitler and Stalin prior to 1941.

Watch what happens in the next two weeks. Xi will move to broker an end to the war, and he will be Russia's only economic outlet. China will end up buying a lot of Russia and be the real winner in this horrible game.

165. Is Putin selling Russia to China under the pretense of giving land for rent indefinitely for exploitation?

As Duncan Curtis said in a response to this question,

If I was China, I would be rubbing my hands in glee, in anticipation of all the cheap Russian investments I will be picking up for next to nothing. Russia is going to be nothing more than a big Chinese mine and factory for the next 50 years.

As the western world abandons Russia, the Chinese will willing fill the void. They will by the vacated portion of the Oil & Gas joint ventures for bargain basement prices. They will sign long-term below market pricing deals for the purchase of Russian Oil and Gas. The Chinese banks will finance Russian expansion at a premium because of Russia's "Junk Bond" status.

📠 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

China doesn't want to merge with Russia and inherent all its liabilities. It will just hold the mortgage on nearly everything of real value. If there is a default, China forecloses.

166. Would Putin dare to drop the nuke in Ukraine?

While the chance are low, Putin is desperate and his judgement on many issues is terribly flawed — as has been evidenced in recent weeks.

Putin has a history of escalating brutality until he wins.

While it would be absolutely foolish for Putin to use tactical nukes, he might try something like this to intimidate his adversary — this is already part of Russian battle doctrine.

Those who say that Putin is rational are in error — he is no longer rational, or he would have ended this war two weeks ago.

167. Putin threatens to use nukes even if we just get in his way. Many politicians & voters then say we'd better not "poke the Bear". But what happens later on if we give in to nuclear blackmail now?

Your question has kept strategists up at night wrestling for the right answer.

History will tell us a lot about the answer, as this dilemma goes back to Greek and Roman times.

But the best example is Hitler, and what we should have done to stop him. Without going into a long dissertation, what we now know about human behavior gives us a clear pathway forward.

First, we must understand that Putin's personality is VERY EVIL — see

http://www.iclinstitute.com/Beware_the_Beast_--_Authoritarian_Dark_Triad_V1.11.pdf

Second, Evil will not go away and will only respond to POWER. This power must be consistent, clear, and unrelenting. There must be NO SIGN of WEAKENESS. And it must be jointly applied from all sides. We are doing this now. I'm impressed how NATO, Biden, the EU and others are walking this tightrope. That's why we don't want a No-Fly Zone — it would provoke the bear into an attack, versus surrounding the bear. The ancient Chinese stratagem of "death by a thousand cuts" is more effective.

168. Do you think the sanctions will work by driving Putin to the negotiating table? Or will they make him feel cornered and cause him to lash out in a catastrophic way? What do you think?

Both will happen.

Right now he is in the Lashing Out mode. He's escalating the fight, going into his Bulldozer reaction, trying to use the size of his military and the fear of his nukes as the Irresistible Force. He is encountering the Immovable Object.

This battle is a LOSE-LOSE proposition with an ugly end.

More than likely Putin will win (to a certain extent) in the south of Ukraine, and get badly banged up in the north.

In a week, we will likely be horrified what can happen in a modern war.

Then Putin will have to lick his wounds and go to the negotiating table — probably orchestrated by China — and declare a victory by holding the eastern provinces, including Crimea and Mariupol.

Putin has not won on the battlefield, and he has already lost the war of public opinion. Soon he will lose the support of the Russian people as the truth emerges in among the populous.

This is called a Pyrrhic Victory (coined during the Greek era) — a success that comes with great losses or unacceptable costs. The Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor is a good example. Ukraine will become a classic in military history. Ultimately, a generation from now this will be Putin's legacy — a "Putinnic Victory."

169. Why did the West put such weak sanctions on Russia/Putin? Why are the rich oligarchs with stolen dirty money and their families not had their visas cancelled and are still rudely behaving and living in the EU/USA?

If you think the sanctions are weak, let's revisit this issue in 30 to 60 days. The impact of Sanctions is not instantaneous — they take a while to roll through the economy. By May 1st, the Russian economy will be in tatters.

The GDP will fall 25% by the end of the year. The country will suffer massive bankruptcies. The unemployment rate will skyrocket. Social unrest will cause Putin to be removed in disgrace, having unraveled all the economic gains of the last two decades. It will take a generation to rebuild.

International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Much of the rich oligarchs have buried their money in dark corners, shell corporations, black market, and crypto currency. The US Dept of Justice just launched a Klepto Task Force to unearth and confiscate the scoundrels.

170. How can scared folks be calmed down when the news is indicating a nuclear war with Russia?

Your question is pertinent and important.

First, it is normal to be scared at what is happening. Tell people their fear is totally reasonable.

Second, taking a page out of my time as a combat officer, I told my men they have three options to deal with fear:

- 1. Let the fear paralyze you, fret and stew, and become totally anxiety ridden.
- 2. Bury your head and tune out.
- 3. Turn your fear into anger and action and understanding the enemy to defeat them.

As you might surmise, my response to the fear and anxiety is to take the third option. That's why you are reading this Quora Answer right now.

171. Since Putin has been declared a war criminal by the Geneva Convention, why doesn't Interpol arrest him?

After WWII, we conducted Military Tribunals at Nuremburg, and convicted 37 Nazi leaders to death. We conquered Germany, and captured the rascals.

Slobodan Milosevic was arrested by his own successor in Serbia, brought to trial, which lasted five years, and eventually convicted him.

How do we capture Putin to put him on Trial? Conquer Russia? Or wait for the Russian people to overthrow the dictatorship then bring him to trial? The last option is the most realistic, but the least probable.

From Jim Himuka: So wha's your answer?

Jim, the answer is that Interpol has neither the *authority* nor *capability* to invade Russia, fight through the army, the police, and Putin's security guards, capture Putin alive, kidnap him, and whisk him out of the country then put him on trial.

At this point, I believe the ICC has initiated an Investigation, but has not convicted Putin. Thus there are no grounds for his arrest

From Jim Himuka: Well, since the INTERPOL is so corrupt(Interpol) and Putin already has a "Wanted" for a million dollars on his head (\$1 million bounties on Vladimir Putin's head offered by Russian businessman | Marca) that if you were the president of the United States wouldn't you order the CIA to work with other EU Secret Service organizations to drag Putin into Dutch court in Hague since United States Congress has passed a resolution (Graham introduces a resolution calling Putin war criminal | wltx.com) to accuse Putin as a war criminal?

Jim, I understand your frustration and desire to see justice wield her powerful and righteous sword. Your intentions are well founded.

However, if I were advising the President, I would never get caught in what's called "myopic obsession" (which is the trap Putin is caught in at the moment) — focusing narrowly on one solution or option, instead of assessing a range of options (which is what the CIA is called upon to do).

Once the range of options are laid out, then scenario analysis is conducted as to the pro's and con's of each option when carried out in detail at the operational level.

The each option is assessed based on what provides the least risk of creating a quagmire when the Law of Unintended Consequences kicks in, and which options are the easiest to execute with the greatest reward.

Right now, unlike Putin, President Biden has surrounded himself with an array of excellent advisers, both internally and with his partners in the EU and NATO.

They have opted with the strategy to let economic sanctions foment a revolution in Russia to take down Putin from within. This might happen in the next six months.

I support this strategy having conducted similar scenario assessments.

172. Former President Trump calls Putin 'genius'. How might the media put a spin on this? Could this be giving him some ideas if he runs and wins in 2024?

No spin is necessary. A fool may be known by ten things:

- 1) anger, without just cause;
- 2) inquiry, without object;

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

- 3) change, without progress;
- 4) speaking, without listening;
- 5) voicing opinion, without insight;
- 6) putting trust in a stranger,
- 7) mistaking foes for friends;
- 8) acting, without considering consequences;
- 9) possessing knowledge, without wisdom;
- 10) using force, without heart.

A fool's tongue is long enough to cut his own throat. - Proverb

A fool, if he holds his tongue, may pass for wise. - Proverb, (Spanish)

A fool is exposed by voicing his opinions; a wise man by his depth and breadth of inquiry.

173. Is the best thing Putin can do is this? Pause, realise that he has made an incredible mistake, withdraw all forces, offer Ukraine £1 trillion in compensation & hand himself over to the UN's ICC in The Hague? People would then respect him far more?

Andre, you are thinking like a normal, rational, equitable, and honorable person. (applause — I'm not being sarcastic.)

Unfortunately Putin has none of these qualities. He wants POWER. He is not influenced by an acknowledgement he's made a mistakes. He has NO CONSCIENCE, which is the defining characteristic of a Psychopath.

The best we can hope for is for the only other Powerful Person Putin respects is Xi Jinping in China, who is probably the only person capable of brokering a "Peace Deal."

174. What are the chances in 2022 that Putin will use nukes on the US?

This is a central question and on everyone's mind from Presidents to school children.

Unfortunately, it's an imprecise calculus that relies on a whole lot of uncertain and thus somewhat doubtful assumptions. (These are code words for "High RIsk Tightrope Walking)

Situations like this needs the same prudence JFK exerted during the Cuban Missile Crisis. Then it was called "brinksmanship" and that term is applicable today.

The only problem today is that Nikita Khrushchev could be talked to and was a rational leader. Putin is not.

Thus, we are trying to do everything to be strong, but not go over the line that will cause Putin to go over the deep end and kick off tactical nukes first.

So, to answer your question, my hunch is less than 15% chance of using nukes, but this chance is way too high to gamble with the future of the planet.

175. How is the "Special Financial Operation" working for Putin?

A special DOJ Task Force has been established to root out the Kleptocrats (Kleptomaniacs) posing as Oligarchs, aimed at "Klepto-Capture."

The TF is targeted at:

- finding "buried treasure" cloaked under shell corporation.
- looking for black money laundering
- freezing accounts of accomplices
- blocking currency transactions
- preventing assets from being transferred into Crypto Currency
- uncovering criminal elements attached to the Oligarchs involved in bank and wire fraud, and banks that look the other way with money laundering

There's probably a lot more that we will learn about, but the Task Force (working internationally) won't let us know about until after the fact.

176. Can Putin really be deposed in one real way? As with the Soviet flag over the Reichstag in May 1945, another flag may need to fly over the Kremlin? Put another way, Ukraine or a coalition may need to go the whole way into Moscow as like with Berlin?

To execute this mission, we would have to invade Russia to capture the monster.

This would trigger WWIII.

Would you want to risk world-wide annihilation to capture Putin?

177. Is inflation in America largely the fault of Vladimir Putin as Joe Biden claims?

The inflation we are experiencing right now was baked in because of the disruptions in the global supply chains from the Covid Crisis. This was not because of Biden's economic

policies, but due to what is known in legal contracts as *Force Majeure* — a Major Force outside one's control.

Credit Biden for his handling of the Covid Crisis, or the inflation would have been significantly worse. Biden just warned that inflation will get worse because of the Ukraine war which is messing with global oil and wheat prices.

The choices Washington has are limited.

- It can let the global prices settle out, and ask the American people to tighten their belts, car pool, grow victory gardens, and take fewer trips.
- It can enforce price gouging regulations to go after companies that take advantage of a crisis. This has been announced.
- The Fed can increase interest rates, but the Fed is independent from the White House.
- Congress can impose rationing and price controls to curb price increases. We did this during WWII. We imposed a version of Rationing during the 1974 Arab Oil Embargo, limiting one's ability to get gasoline to alternate days.
- We imposed a version of Rationing during the 1974 Arab Oil Embargo, limiting one's ability to get gasoline to alternate days.

The result after controls were lifted in 1946 was a sharp jump in prices, with food increasing by 14 percent and the cost of overall living rising by 6 percent, Consumers all over the nation turned out in varying numbers to protest these increases, with labor unions forming a major part of the participants. (from Wikipedia)

This is not the time to blame Biden, but to deal with realities for which there are no great solutions. Use less electricity, walk or bike rather than drive, price shop, and keep a little extra to give the Ukrainian refugees — they are far worse off than we in the West,

178. What is the 'catastrophic fallout' Russia promises if the West bans their oil imports?

Putin, who believes he is the Master Manipulator, bases his psychological warfare strategy on the principles of FUDDD: Fear, Uncertainty, Doubt, Distrust, & Discord.

Therefore, he will cast out these vague and undefined threats so our brains become BEFUDDLED (Filled with FUDDD). We must discount such unspecified threats as an attempt to manipulate us.

By spotting FUDDD when it hits us, we can defuse it and shrug it off.

179. Now that Putin has stated he would use nuclear if any nation interfered with his plans to invade, will the West act any differently when Putin wants the Baltics?

Putin is always threating his opponent by creating uncertainty, procrastination, analysis paralysis, and confusion.

Our best strategy is to articulate the words and display the might that lets Putin know precisely the boundaries and red lines he'd better not cross.

Putin is a bombastic in a controlled manner (unlike Trump). He says a whole lot that contradicts a prior declaration of injustice, screams with understatement, spouts morality without conscience, intimidates with impunity.

To this challenge, we must stay resolute, vigilant, and courageous. Diplomacy is only as strong as the integrity and character behind it.

180. Why didn't the Obama administration of the United States implement more sanctions against Vladimir Putin after Russia's takeover of Crimea and Ukraine in 2014?

I wish this question had a single easy answer, but that's not the case. Here's why:

When an administration makes a decision, because it is tasked with managing politics as well as safety and defense, there's a lot of cumbersome "calculus" involved.

Take the situation where Obama oversaw the attack on Bin Laden's lair in Pakistan. It required violating Pakistan's sovereign air space to send in a hit squad of Navy Seals to take out an evil force.

In Obama's view, he weighed the risks versus the rewards. If he failed, Pakistan would really throw up a fuss. But who in America cared about whether the Pakistanis were happy or not. And if he succeeded, America, Democrats and Republicans would be happy. Bottom Line: go after Bin Laden and take him out.

The calculus was not favorable for concrete action against the Russian invasion of Crimea. The Republicans were committed to being obstructionists on any program or policy Obama advocated. No matter what Obama decided to do to subdue Russia, the Republicans were likely to criticize and turn into a political issue. Obama was not adept at building bridges with Republicans, whose party were actually filled with closet racists.

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

Because there were no strong advocates on the Republican side to champion sanctions on the other side of the aisle, the opportunity to build a coalition slipped away.

It was not clear at that time (2015) that:

- ... The rest of the Republicans would support anything coherent. John McCain and a few other Republicans were outspoken in their opposition to Putin. Had they been joined by a real coalition of Republicans, they would have introduced legislation to invoke sanctions, ensured it was passed in Congress, then put it on Obama's desk forcing him to sign it or veto it.
- ... There was no moral outrage on the part of the European Union, and no threat to NATO. Without international unity, there was no strong moral imperative.
- ... The US should get involved in another conflict. We were mired in Iraq and Afghanistan. Russia was not an enemy. There was still some openness in Russia at the time — Putin was considered an autocrat, not a brutal dictator. Sanctions could push Russia toward China, which we didn't want.
- ... Putin would go for more than Crimea and the Donbas. We believed at the time
 Putin would stop at the point of taking a little bite at a time. We did not foresee
 Putin would become a megalomaniac with delusions of grandeur.
- ... Ukraine had not settled down to take clear steps toward the elimination of corruption, etc. There was no leader who showed any unity or resolution at that time.
- ... Putin in 2015 was a cold, calculating, and ruthless leader, but rational and predictable. The atrocities in Aleppo were a year ahead. Most who viewed Putin thought he was smarter and more calculating. The truly evil side did not manifest until later.

It's easy today, in March 2022, to see that we should have been more forceful. But I'm not sure we could have predicted Putin would devolve from a Manipulative Autocrat into an Evil Authoritarian.

181. Do you agree that Zelensky in Ukraine has done more to change Western responses and attitudes to Putin in 5 days of the Russian invasion of Ukraine and his response, than everyone combined in the past 30 years and this will lead to Putin's demise?

Comment by **Henry R. Greenfield**, former Senior Consultant Global Digital Twin Technology at Integrated Facility Management (2019-2022)

Analysis: How Zelensky changed the West's response to Russia

Five days into Russia's brutal invasion of Ukraine, Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelensky and his courageous nation have already done more to transform the West's policy toward Russia than 30 years of post-Cold War summits, policy resets and showdowns with Russian President Vladimir Putin.

https://edition.cnn.com/2022/02/28/politics/zelensky-us-response-to-russia/index.html

How could a comic who was mocked by just about everyone, whom Trump tried to intimidate and bribe before he would get any arms to protect Ukraine, a guy who just 2 weeks ago was downplaying the Russian armies massed on his border on 3 sides, how could this guy, weak and ineffective change the world's perception of Putin literally overnight.

Did I expect it? No way, did you? After the Pres of Afghanistan ran before a shot was fired and a 300,000 man army disappeared overnight in the face of the Taliban flip flop brigades, I thought as I am sure most of you did, he we go again.

Another US ally collapsing before there is even a fight and when will this end.

Then something happened. Our friends and relatives all over Europe, especially in Hungary and Czechia which endured brutal invasions and occupations by the then USSR, said, these guys will stand up. They will make molotov cocktails, they will fight but only if their President stands up.

And like the stand up comic that he was, Zelensky keeps popping up all over Kyiv and in return the west has said, hallelujah we have someone, finally who is willing to stand up to Putin. They all can't stop themselves to send money, cut off Putin even the Brits are saying no more Russian Oligarchs. Scholz of Germany has grown a spine, Poland is steadfast, the Baltics all have weapons, Sweden and Finland are practically in NATO now.

On and on it goes, even Orban in Hungary, the erstwhile protege of Putin who thought he might get a part of Ukraine if Putin did a quick takeover and government change has done a quick retreat and has condemned him.

Putin has overnight lost all friends, all support all because some comic decided to do what no one had the guts to do, stand up to Vladimir Putin. How pissed off is Putin right now? So angry he is rolling out the nukes threatening nuclear armageddon. His little feral eyeballs are practically popping out of his head in total meltdown mode.

What will happen next we don't know but for now everyone has seen what one guy can do to show Putin is just another punk dictator with a big arsenal and not a lot of sense. Trump called him a genius? How about emperor with no clothes.

182. What is the case to be made that Vladimir Putin's war in Ukraine has helped Donald Trump because people are not paying as much attention to his legal troubles or the Capitol riots?

In the short run, attention has shifted away from the Donald. But the Jan 6th Committee remains focused on gathering evidence that will lead to a number of prosecutions.

In the long run, another factor may come to bear — the similarity and familiarity between Trump and Putin. The tarnish of Putin may rub off on Trump. This will be accentuated as Trump's real motives and financing become more evident.

183. How long will it take until the media and press of the free world will call Vladimir Putin in unison for what he is, namely a DICTATOR? Many (most of them) still keep calling him PRESIDENT Putin.

I too share your concern.

Often I hear Putin referred to as an "autocrat" which is a very vanilla term.

- He is an **authoritarian** and very evil.
- During the American Revolution we referred to King George as a tyrant.
- Putin is even worse he is a **reptilian barbarian**.
- Words are important, they shape the image of reality.

184. If Russia defeats Ukraine, how will the country be rebuilt with Russia having such sanctions against it? What's Putin's plan?

There are two very different ways for me to answer this question:

- 1. Putin doesn't care about rebuilding Ukraine. He really doesn't want the cities or the urban centers populated with any people. All he wants is the farmlands to produce food, and the territory to expand into other former Soviet countries. Stalin, when he entered Poland at the end of WWII, had virtually the same objectives destroy the cities, capture the natural resources, and enslave the people.
- 2. Putin has not given any thought to what he will do to rebuild the Ukraine and who cares? He's destroying cities with no plan to rebuild. With the sanctions there will be no money anyway. If the people are enslaved, Russia will just make the cities into forced labor camps and let the victims rebuild the cities themselves.

idle hands are the devil's workshop tools — someone who is unoccupied and bored will find mischief and get into trouble.

185. Has Putin's big invasion plan backfired now that so many American companies refuse to do business with Russia?

Any company making a foreign investment considers a number of factors in the equation, such as:

- 1. Is there a market demand for our product?
- 2. Is the economy sound in the host country?
- 3. What is the opportunity for growth?
- 4. How corrupt is the host country (a hidden tax)?
- 5. Is there a chance our investment could be seized and nationalized?
- 6. Will we get a good return on investment?
- 7. Will there be future taxations on our assets that would ruin our profits?
- 8. Will our current customers and potential investors think of us favorably if we invest there?

When looking at Russia into the near-term future, all these key factors for success come back negative.

Thus, no investment by smart companies in Russia. Call this the "Curse of Putin." It will take years of reform for Russia to reenter the global investment world.

Putin, for all is delusional genius, failed to understand the back-fire effect of the Law of Unintended Consequences. He's destroyed the future of Russia for at least a generation.

186. What if everything backfires on Putin. Does he go down? Do countries become democratic? What would be an outstanding outcome? Will it be a safer world?

There are worst case and best-case scenarios. Each requires a confluence of forces to occur. Without burdening this answer with all the "force fields" that would create the best-case scenario, here is what it would look like:

- Putin is removed from office peacefully by the Duma (Parliament) and replaced by a democratic leaders who is capable of guiding a return to peace and rebuilding the Russian economy
- Zelinsky's Ukraine becomes committed to anti-corruption reforms as the free world contributes to Ukraine's recovery
- The debate about whether Autocracies are superior to Democracies is finally resolved against dictatorships
- Countries begin a major reduction in arms
- Remaining Authoritarian leaders lose power as they are rejected and deposed across the globe

🔐 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

• The Ukrainian Fiasco is the turning point for civilization to enter a new Age of Enlightenment.

Will this happen? The more we as people and leaders start to see the light, the greater the chance of trekking down this path.

187. Is it possible that Western intelligence agencies actually tricked Putin into invading Ukraine by feeding him false intel, in order to trap him in a quagmire that drains Russia's resources and damages its standing in the world?

While I suppose anything is *possible*, this scenario is highly *improbable*.

What's most likely is that Putin made the wrong assessments himself, and these were just flat out wrong. His overblown opinion of his abilities caused him to discount any advice that did not fit his preconceived ideas.

He made a number of critical miscalculations, including (to name a few):

- The Hatred of Russians by Ukrainians (and Poles)
- The Leadership Qualities of Zelensky
- The willingness of the Ukrainians to fight, delaying the Russian advances.
- The ability of Ukrainians to speak English and communicate effectively with the Western world.
- The threat to NATO and the EU that caused them to Unify almost overnight
- The ability of Biden to recover from the mess in Afghanistan and fortify NATO quickly
- Biden's diplomatic skill in building international alliances
- The ability of Republicans and Democrats to heal their rifts and form a coalition against Russian

Putin's Obsessive-Compulsive passion has caused him to Bulldoze his way into battlefield errors instead of adapting to conditions, limiting the battle zone, focusing on achievable objectives, and diminishing the adverse global response. Shame on Putin.

This was no trick, Putin's own multiple disorders in his own personality cause this mess.

188. Is inflation in America largely the fault of Vladimir Putin as Joe Biden claims?

The inflation we are experiencing right now was baked in because of the disruptions in the global supply chains from the Covid Crisis. This was not because of Biden's economic policies, but due to what is known in legal contracts as *Force Majeure* — *a Major Force outside one's control*.

Credit Biden for his handling of the Covid Crisis, or the inflation would have been significantly worse.

Biden just warned that inflation will get worse because of the Ukraine war which is messing with global oil and wheat prices.

The choices Washington has are limited.

- It can let the global prices settle out, and ask the American people to tighten their belts, car pool, grow victory gardens, and take fewer trips.
- It can enforce price gouging regulations to go after companies that take advantage of a crisis. This has been announced.
- It can impose rationing and price controls to curb price increases. We did this during WWII. We imposed a version of Rationing during the 1974 Arab Oil Embargo, limiting one's ability to get gasoline to alternate days.
- We imposed a version of Rationing during the 1974 Arab Oil Embargo, limiting one's ability to get gasoline to alternate days.

The result after controls were lifted in 1946 was a sharp jump in prices, with food increasing by 14 percent and the cost of overall living rising by 6 percent, Consumers all over the nation turned out in varying numbers to protest these increases, with labor unions forming a major part of the participants. (from Wikipedia)

This is not the time to blame Biden, but to deal with realities for which there are no great solutions. Use less electricity, walk or bike rather than drive, price shop, and keep a little extra to give the Ukrainian refugees — they are far worse off than we in the West

189. Was Vladimir Putin formerly in the Russian military?

Putin went into the KGB, not the military, as an intelligence officer.

Once he entered politics and became the authoritarian ruler of Russia, he believed he was more intelligent than his generals, isolating him from their insights. This in turn has led to the Ukrainian Fiasco.

Further, because Putin believes that corruption is a natural occurrence in human nature, he gave contracts to corrupt manufacturers of military equipment. This resulted in many faulty components in military vehicles, which broke down in the field.

Putin, the intelligence expert, isn't very smart.

190. Are Russian teens and millennials addicted to Instagram and Facebook just like their western counterparts? Could this revocation be the straw that breaks the Putin government? Did the Russian Government know how addictive Instagram is to the youth?

While Russian youth and millennials can be just as addicted to social media as those in the West, they are experiencing withdrawal symptoms now.

Is the revocation enough to create a Revolution? Not likely. Revolutions are fomented because of deep grievances against a governing entity that is brutal (this exists) coupled with a large critical mass of people who can work together around an ideal or vision to replace the old regime.

Right now, there is not enough critical mass and resistive energy for a successful revolution in Russia.

Maybe this configuration will change with the economic sanctions and other freedoms Putin is withholding.

191. Has Putin's big invasion plan backfired now that so many American companies refuse to do business with Russia?

Any company making a foreign investment considers a number of factors in the equation, such as:

- 1. Is there a market demand for our product?
- 2. Is the economy sound in the host country?
- 3. What is the opportunity for growth?
- 4. How corrupt is the host country (a hidden tax)?
- 5. Is there a chance our investment could be seized and nationalized?
- 6. Will we get a good return on investment?
- 7. Will there be future taxations on our assets that would ruin our profits?
- 8. Will our current customers and potential investors think of us favorably if we invest there?

When looking at Russia into the near-term future, all these key factors for success come back negative.

Thus, no investment by smart companies in Russia. Call this the "Curse of Putin." It will take years of reform for Russia to reenter the global investment world.

Putin, for all his delusional genius, failed to understand the back-fire effect of the Law of Unintended Consequences. He's destroyed the future of Russia for at least a generation.

192. Isn't it a shame in a way for ordinary Russians? They get tarred with the same brush as Putin, & end up with a low ranking passport, worthless currency & 40 years of hard work wiped out building an economy?

They cannot even buy a Samsung Galaxy phone?

There are two main issues at play in your scenario:

- 1. Fairness
- 2. **Strategy**

Regarding **Fairness**, this is struggle between competing layers of what is most *unfair*:

- the Unfairness of the belt tightening of the ordinary Russian citizen?
- the Unfairness of having the freedom of the Russian people crushed under the iron fist of oppression?
- the Unfairness to the Russian conscripts forced to fight in a war they never deserved nearly 10,000 killed, and another 30,000 wounded or maimed?
- the Unfairness toward the Ukrainian people where thousands of innocents have been killed and millions displaced from their peaceful homes?
- the Unfairness toward the Ukrainian Freedom Fighters killed because they want to defend their homeland?
- the Unfairness toward millions of Ukrainian children who will suffer from PTSD from the trauma of bombs all around them?
- the Unfairness of the Billions of Dollars Damage to Ukrainian buildings?

Then there is Strategy. Who has the more righteous gameplan to do the greater good?

- Putin's strategy to kill, annihilate and obliterate a peaceful nation?
- The West's strategy to Defend Ukraine?
- The West's strategy of economic sanction that kill no one but may cause a revolution inside Russia to overturn the most corrupt and evil regime of the 21st century?

Go over this list, then weigh all in the balance. Whose pain causes the most suffering in *your* soul?

Then ask the moral conscience of the world "what is the right thing to do?"

What is the value of freedom? Then ask yourself — and the world — to make a choice. What would you choose?

193. Why are the leaders of Saudi Arabia and the UAE refusing to take calls from Biden as the U.S. is working to build international support for Ukraine and contain a surge in oil prices? What is the benefits of seeming to side with Russia?

During the 2020 campaign, Biden was vocal about atrocities committed by the Saudis and the UAE. He saw their behavior as being alien to American values.

According to the WSJ:

The Saudis have signaled that their relationship with Washington has deteriorated under the Biden administration, and they want more support for their intervention in Yemen's civil war, help with their own civilian nuclear program as Iran's moves ahead, and legal immunity for Prince Mohammed in the U.S., Saudi officials said. The crown prince faces multiple lawsuits in the U.S., including over the killing of journalist Jamal Khashoggi in 2018.

The Saudis and Emiratis have declined to pump more oil, saying they are sticking to a production plan approved between their group, the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries, and a group of other producers led by Russia. The energy alliance with Russia, one of the world's top oil producers, has enhanced OPEC's power while also bringing the Saudis and Emiratis closer to Moscow.

Both Prince Mohammed and Sheikh Mohammed took phone calls from Russian President <u>Vladimir Putin</u> last week, after declining to speak with Mr. Biden.

It's clear the Arabs are playing power politics, and care little about the havoc they will wreak on the rest of the world.

194. What would happen if Putin was charged with war crimes?

Remember the movie: "Catch me if you can?"

The International Criminal Court could convict, but without capture, it's a moot judgement.

However, Putin has already been convicted of war crimes in the Court of Public Opinion and by the Court of Historians, who will write his legacy as the epitome of brutality, right along side Hitler, Saddam Hussein, Pol Pot, and Attila.

195. Why aren't the World Leaders coming together to put a stop to the war? We must call Putin for what he is: another Hitler and hold him accountable for his war crimes and for invading a country that pose no threat to him.

Having worked in conjunction with the United Nations early in my career, I know how hard it is to gain any consensus in the world arena. Much of this is dependent upon how the people in their country feel about an issue.

So far, there has been a remarkable consensus emerging, Condemnations have mounted. The EU and NATO are aligned.

People are recognizing that Putin is the reincarnation of Hitler. Believe me, my friends in Europe are shivering as history is rhyming with the current affairs.

Just like post WWII and the war crimes tribunals in Nuremburg, Putin will be branded as the evilest leader of the 21st century.

Rest assured, your insights will materialize — in time.

196. How true is it that When Putin launched his invasion of Ukraine, he not only resolidified the old Western alliances formed in the wake of World War II, he also shook up the Republican Party's power dynamics, at least temporarily? Why?

For the European countries the memories of Hitler are vivid and horrifying. Many parents and grandparents of European citizens went through WWII and the horrible aftermath of famine in 1946 and refugees finding their way back to home villages blown to bits.

To them, Putin is the reincarnation of Hitler. Putin's attack on Ukraine feels like Hitler's 1939 Blitzkrieg through Poland

Trump's cozying up to Putin is looking scary to many Republicans who recognize Trump is a baby Putin. Now the old Ron Reagan forces are coming out of the woodwork as Zelinsky's leadership is inspiring them.

Putin, who triggered the Law of Unintended Consequences, failed to understand how he was a polarizing figure. He also underestimated Biden's acumen on Foreign Affairs and his ability to build coalitions. Biden also did everything behind the scenes to facilitate the moderate Democrats and their Republican counterparts to come together and push for escalation of armaments. In this way Biden could synchronize American war support with the NATO nations as they solidified their united front.

As long as Putin keeps blundering, the united feelings will continue.

197. Could Russian President Vladimir Putin ever be an accepted member of the civilized world?

NEVER! He's fried himself in his own skillet.

A War Criminal is a Pariah, a Reptilian Barbarian, and Evil to the core.

No one except someone with the same psychiatric disorders could ever revere him.

198. Why do people think that if Putin ceases to be the Russian president, the Russo-Ukraine war will cease?

People will always choose pleasure over pain, stability over turmoil, prosperity over poverty, growth over decline, elation over degradation, and victory over defeat.

Putin has created the worst outcome in each of these equations. He has set back the Russian system to post Stalin days. Russians have an acute sense of history and will recognize the regression.

Putin will be removed, and his successor will be far more adroit in bringing Russia back into the 21st century, but this could take a generation to repair the mess Putin has caused for his own people.

199. What if Putin attacks another country that isn't part of NATO? How will the West see this?

People will always choose pleasure over pain, stability over turmoil, prosperity over poverty, growth over decline, elation over degradation, and victory over defeat.

Putin does not understand human behavior, because his psychopathic mentality has no sensitivity to human emotions. Putin only understands POWER.

He is now so out of touch with his people, that something is going to burst.

The economic sanctions, will, sooner or later, be so disruptive that the people will revolt. Whether that revolt is successful or not, only time will tell.

Every politician eventually learns that when moral indignation and economic forces are aligned, the energy is massive.

200. How is it legally justifiable for the world to seize Russian Oligarch's assets over Putin's actions, something they have no control over?

This question is most properly answered by a lawyer with a background in seizing assets from Mafia Crime bosses or White-Collar Criminals that defraud investors,

Essentially the law says that assets that were attained by a criminal act — such as theft, fraud, or illegal enterprise — were not legally obtained, and can be seized by the government. Generally, the assets that are seized must be maintained and kept in good order until a Court of Law determines that a crime was actually committed, at which time they become the property of the government and can be used as restitution to those from whom they were stolen.

The US Department of Justice has just established a Klepto Crime Task Force to ferret out and prosecute those who acquire assets that are stolen from others.

201. What do you think about Tucker Carlson defending Putin and the invasion of Ukraine?

If you go back to WWII, there was a Radio Commentator named "Tokyo Rose" who spread treasonous information to undermine the morale of US troops in the Pacific Theatre.

After the war, she was accused, arrested, tried, and became the seventh person in U.S. history to be convicted of <u>treason</u>. She was put in jail for 20 years.

Tucker Carlson should suffer the same fate.

Appendix: Understanding Propaganda

There's an old saying: "Truth is the First Casualty of War"

Propaganda, by both sides in the war will soon prevail as polarizing messaging hits the airwaves. Here are some things we must all be aware of:

Hitler Codified the Modern Manipulation Game

Machiavelli's 20th century disciple, Adolf Hitler, amped up the game in the 20th century, employing the BEFUDDLED tactics to a wholly unprecedented level, particularly with his chief propagandist Josef Goebbels, who exploited the alienation that comes with industrialization, layered on top of the victimization of post-war reparations and the economic collapse of the Great Depression. It's important to understand Hitler's game book, because it is being used in the 21st century – on steroids.

Propaganda is the conscious and deliberate effort of an organized group desiring to influence the active or passive behavior of individuals by using social or psychological manipulation techniques.

Hitler calculated that, to be effective, he had to aim at taking advantage of specific weaknesses, vulnerabilities, and points of contention in German society. He also knew that a high impact propaganda machine must be highly scientific in nature, using established formulae and methodology (today these are called algorithms), analyze interpersonal and psychological conditions, control its use, measure its results, and define its effects. Today, eighty years later, these fundamentals are rocketing on steroids fueled by advanced computer technology.

Goebbels recognized propaganda must be *pervasive* and *continuous*; otherwise, it becomes prone to rebuttal by opposing forces, leading to negation by opposing forces. (Today this is called the "Daily Tweet Storm"). Moreover, Goebbels' strategy was to make propaganda *total* – an indoctrination barrage leveled at the masses from every direction – using radio, newspapers, flyers, billboards, and public gatherings. (Today we would include television and social media.)

Additionally, propaganda had to infiltrate the educational system where young minds are programmed to bond with the government's message. Rarely would a child be exposed to unpleasant or embarrassing national events that may cause a wavering of the child's confidence in Hitler's government. And when such embarrassing situations arose, Goebbels would respond with biased "half-truths," distorted statistics, and quotes out of context. The game plan was to invade a child's mind with a coherent belief system of ingrained values that would continue into adulthood.

To implement these strategies, Hitler's propaganda machine had to master gaining the trust of the masses, which would be solidified into a unified bastion of confidence. Gaining this trust, which would normally be a very slow process, could be accelerated when all forms of opposition were eliminated. If, however, the public was conditioned to mistrust the government, then all propaganda emanating from this source would be ineffective. Yet, if these same people had faith in key elements of the mass media, such as broadcast stations, trusted authorities, and believable sources, then the government's desired goal would be readily achieved.

Hitler's Secret Propaganda Sauce

To influence thoughts and actions of the German people, Hitler had to raise emotional turmoil to a level it had to be resolved for each individual. This was done in the form of labels, slogans, and ready-made judgments:

- 1. **Half-Truths** Making a true statement, which is then linked to a lie, which the brain agrees to the first premise, then assume the second premise must also be true.
- 2. **Name Calling** giving an idea or person a bad name makes the public reject and condemn without examining the evidence.
- 3. **Glittering Generality** association of something with a "virtue word" or a "condemning word" used for the public to accept/approve or reject/doom something without real evidence.
- 4. **Testimonial** having someone respected (or hated) say that a given idea, program, or person is good or bad.
- 5. **Transfer** carries the authority, sanction, and prestige of something respected or revered over to something else in order to make the latter acceptable; or it carries authority, sanction, and disapproval to cause us to reject and disapprove of something.
- 6. **Plain Folks** the method used by a speaker to convince his audience that he and his ideas are good because they are "good for the people" the common man, just "plain folks."
- 7. **Card Stacking** -- selectively presenting facts or falsehoods, illustrations or distortions, and logical and/or illogical statements in order to give the best or worst possible case for an idea, program, person, or product.
- 8. **Band Wagon** using the theme "everybody at least all of *us* is doing it;" thereby the propagandist attempts to convince the public that all members of a group, to which we belong, are accepting his program and we must therefore follow *our* crowd.

Hitler's Propaganda Campaign

Quickly Goebbels came to understand that the public trust would be gained by:

- 1. Always publicizing the truth, (although seldom the complete truth, thus a "half-truth," commonly known as a "myth")
- 2. Never contradicting personal experience (thus focus on issues where the public has little experience, such as international affairs or insider politics).

The aspect of "always telling the truth" is one of the most distressing and unsettling, yet intriguing, facets of the propaganda problem. Propagandists must maintain public confidence by stating only the facts. Usually this amounts to publicizing half-truths, one-sided truths, limited truths, and contextual truths.

International Collaborative Leadership Institute

During the Ukrainian War, propaganda became prominent fast. One good example was a video posted by journalist Lara Logan. (https://www.bitchute.com/video/yrwD23ilvO3M/). On the surface, it seemed sincere and well informed, but upon closer examination, it became apparent that was loaded like a double-barreled shotgun with buckshot designed to pepper the brain with:

- False Equivalencies
- Mischaracterizations
- Misinformation
- Linking Half-Truths to Half-Lies
- **Outright Distortions**
- **Exploitation of Anomalies**
- **Bizarre Allegations**
- Connecting Known Evil to the Unknown

I'll use just a few examples cited by Logan referring to the Ukrainians:

"Their own emblem contains the black sun of the occult, which was a Nazi SS emblem. And it also contains the sideway, you know, lightning insignia of the SS. This is throughout the military you can see that black sun of the occult on their body armor."

I dug into this, looking for any image that might fit into this category.

The only symbol that could fit this allegation is the Symbol of the Ukrainian National Guard (which Zelensky wore on his shirt during many of his video presentations)



This symbol consists of the Maltese Cross (a Christian Symbol, certainly not a swastika) and the Trident symbol which dates back to the 1st century AD and has been used for 2000 years.

Neither of these symbols could be associated with the Nazi SS lightning symbol. Nor is the octagon around the trident a symbol of the black sun of the occult.

I researched the allegation:

"Western Ukraine backed the Nazis. It was the headquarters for the Nazi SS. The CIA under Allen Dulles actually gave immunity from prosecution to the Nazis of Ukraine from the Nuremberg Trials."

The first allegation is obviously FALSE. The SS headquarters was in Berlin, not the Ukraine. Neither Poland nor Ukraine backed the Nazis -- they hated them with a passion. When Stalin reoccupied the Ukraine after driving out the Germans in the fall of 1944, he exterminated any remaining Nazis.

There is some truth to the statement that some Nazis were shielded from the Nuremberg Trials. These were largely German spies who the CIA hoped could be used during Cold War. It was a mistake. They still remained loyal Nazis. However, these Nazis were all German, NOT Ukrainian. (see The CIA's Worst-Kept Secret: Newly Declassified Files Confirm United States Collaboration with Nazis - Institute for Policy Studies (ips-dc.org)

Sadly, the overwhelming majority of the masses will never "fact check" to determine the real, whole, deep truth, especially if the government controls freedom of the press or discounts the press as being an "alien creature" filled with "fake news." Consequently, the masses become incapable of deciphering the side of the argument, if in fact, they are clever enough to realize another side truly exists. People assume the truth cannot be propaganda and thus make themselves even more susceptible to its influence.

Goebbels became the modern age's founder, master, and foremost implementer of mass manipulation. His propaganda never once contained a lie – a matter he insisted upon with fanaticism. Nor did he contradict reasoned opinions or fixed patterns of behavior; he only desired that the desired irrational conclusions of the German people would be based on the truths according to Goebbels.

A psychological magician, Goebbels realized that, for maximum results, propaganda would blossom in an environment that appears to bless *freedoms* enabling the masses to act voluntarily. On the other hand, he believed, when the masses are *forced* to hold opinions, or *forced* to act in a particular way, such constraints diminished the impact of the messaging because people would repress their fear and the tremendous anxiety it induced would eventually be unleashed. He saw this as a major factor in the 1917 Bolshevik Revolution in Russia right in the middle of World War I. Repression, Goebbels concluded in the end, didn't work.

Fear and terror tactics are only effective when a political power aims at solidifying public opinion that is either already vilified *inside* the nation (such as Germany's Jewish community), or seen as an *outside* threat and antagonist (specifically anyone who was not Aryan). Goebbels knew that if this technique were to be unleashed, it would require continually adding new, more spectacular fuel to the fire to keep fear at a torrid heat. This was easier to do with *outsiders* than *insiders*. This method of perpetually inflaming and agitating the masses could only last in short bursts, because over prolonged periods mass *hatred* was needed to replenish the spent energy. Goebbels understood that once provoked, hatred tends to multiply until it burns itself out.

Goebbels' ultimate intention actually was not to agitate German society, but rather to unify and integrate. Creating a socially stable status quo would enable the German people to find a position of security – the lowest energy level. However, Hitler's grandiose plans of world domination often overturned Goebbels' objectives.

Goebbels sought primarily to influence *actions*, not *opinions*. He cared less for how people thought, and more about how they *behaved*. Political and social *movement* was paramount, feelings were really irrelevant. This is where public *opinion* polls were useless in his line of thinking, because they didn't gauge future *actions*. Action, he reasoned, is derived from the irrational and subconscious motivations previously stimulated by a propaganda attack. Public opinion was thus a foolish abstraction because reality, in the eyes of the individual, is completely illusionary based on second-hand information never actually experienced by the masses. All thoughts and conscious decisions would, in most cases, be overridden by subconscious drives and motive. Eventually, people's opinions had to shift to come into alignment with their actions.

Whenever possible, Hitler invoked mob psychology, realizing that through the mob, each individual would experience a rush of power he'd never experienced before. This adrenaline rush would trick the psyche, inside mob power, into falsely believing he or she was actually controlling and directing the mob.

🔝 International Collaborative Leadership Institute

In this way leaders then became the images and symbols of this illusionary mob power. The leader and the mob become one big ball of power, one indistinguishable from the other.

In these ways Hitler and Goebbels codified social, political, and moral standards, standardizing warped ideas, hardening prevailing stereotypes, furnishing pre-packaged thought patterns, and normalizing abhorrent behavior. Through the continuous process of intense, albeit false, rationalization propaganda actually eliminates inner conflicts, tensions, self-criticism, uncertainty, and doubt. Each individual's needs for self-affirmation are reinforced by other accomplices that reinforce each other as the propaganda machine directs.

Most of us thought that, with the demise of Hitler, Mussolini, and Hirohito at the end WWII, the world had cleansed itself most of its darkest leaders, and things would be brighter on the road ahead. Stalin still lived, Mao Tse Dong emerged, and the Cold War dampened our hopes. By 1989, a new hope emerged. Stalin and Mao were gone, and Gorbachev tore down the Berlin wall and emulsified the Soviet Union's grasp on Eastern Europe. The European Union was being formed. There was a slight thrill in the air in the early 1990s that a new world order would emerge phoenix-like from the ashes of the burned-out hulks of defeated dictatorships.

But the aftermath of the Great Depression and WWII did not bring forth much promise of a new vision, a new energy, a new spirit for civilization. We stumbled into the Cold War, Korea, and Vietnam dragging old baggage – mainly because the old order had not been replaced by a new, practical vision on any large scale.

Like the Romans, we kept doing the same things, but bigger, but not more beautiful. We used our technology to make things faster, cheaper, more complex, but not necessarily building a better humanity. As technology climbed at a dizzying pace, our culture continued to rot from within. Reading about the fall of the Roman Empire, this sure feels like déjà vu all over again, as Yogi Berra was fond of saying. Heroic Materialism – the accumulation of wealth and power – and Heroic Individualism conquered both Communism and some Dictatorships, with collateral damage to community as families, marriages, and neighborhoods fell prey to false beliefs about the nature of human evolution as Darwin really believed. False prophets pitifully captured more and more ground as educators fell to the trap and religion obliviously centered their attention on glorifying God and saving souls, while leaving the spirit and responsibilities of community to be devoured by the wolves of individual rights and personal deification.

Into this vacuum another assault on the dignity and spirit of humanity was launched. But this time its sinister result came from our blind side in the form of elevation of the individual.

Cold War Propaganda's Game Plan

While Hitler may have been defeated, his propaganda mechanism simply morphed. In Cold War lexicon, propaganda has been called the "fourth arm of statecraft, complementing the military, diplomatic, and economic means of executing Cold War policy. Both Truman and Eisenhower referred to the Cold War as the "battle for men's minds."

The problem with propaganda then, and now, is that if one country flexes its "fourth arm," other countries are forced to escalate, just like an arms war.

Stalin added Pavlovian techniques to Hitler's Freudian methods.

In today's world, things have become far more complex, and insidious. The internet now makes dispersion of disinformation, lies, and half-truths cheap and quick like a hired hitman on multiple targets.

Some news media channels have become nothing less than mass manipulation propaganda machines in the guise of journalism. Using sophisticated psychological algorithms, social media "hooks" people into propaganda networks that still use many of Hitler's manipulation techniques.

The Fourth Arm is no longer just a means of manipulating minds, it is fully weaponized to make cyberattacks for data, holding victims hostage for ransomware, and data-mining for valuable intellectual property.